

# Accuracy of Third Trimester Ultrasound for Predicting Large-for-Gestational Age Newborn in Women with Gestational Diabetes Mellitus

Pornpimol Ruangvutilert, M.D., Ph.D., Thanapa Rekhawasin, M.D., Chayawat Phatihattakorn, M.D., Dittakarn Boriboonhirunsarn, M.D., Ph.D.

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand.

## ABSTRACT

**Objective:** To determine the accuracy of ultrasonography during 32-36 weeks of gestation for predicting a large-for-gestational-age (LGA) newborn in women with gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM).

**Materials and Methods:** Women with singleton pregnancy, aged  $\geq 18$  years old and diagnosed with GDM were recruited. They underwent ultrasonography at 32-36 weeks' gestation for fetal biometry, namely, biparietal diameter (BPD), head circumference (HC), abdominal circumference (AC), and femur length (FL). Estimated fetal weight (EFW) was derived from these 4 parameters by Hadlock formula. Delivery of an LGA newborn in women with the ultrasound finding of LGA fetus was the primary outcome of interest along with determination of predicting factors.

**Results:** Of 345 studied women, 107 (31%) had an LGA newborn. EFW of  $\geq 90^{\text{th}}$  percentile at third trimester ultrasonography was found in 13 women, all of whom had an LGA newborn. It had a positive predictive value (PPV), specificity, sensitivity and negative predictive value (NPV) of 100%, 100%, 12.1% and 71.7% respectively to predict LGA at birth. Considering each fetal parameter individually,  $AC \geq 90^{\text{th}}$  percentile and  $HC \geq 90^{\text{th}}$  percentile had odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals of the newborn being LGA of 6.5 (3.3-12.8) and 2.0 (1.0-4.0) respectively while  $EFW \geq 85^{\text{th}}$  percentile had the highest OR of 9.3 (1.1-77.9). Lowering cutoff values of EFW to  $80^{\text{th}}$  and  $70^{\text{th}}$  percentile increased the sensitivity and NPV for prediction of LGA at birth while reducing the PPV and specificity slightly.

**Conclusion:** EFW derived from the third trimester ultrasonography in women with GDM had high PPV and specificity with low to moderate sensitivity and NPV to predict an LGA newborn in women with GDM.

**Keywords:** Estimated fetal weight; third trimester ultrasound; large-for-gestational age newborn; gestational diabetes mellitus (Siriraj Med J 2021; 73: 322-329)

## INTRODUCTION

Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is a condition diagnosed during pregnancy associated with a lack of tolerance to increased blood glucose level.<sup>1</sup> Approximately 7% of all pregnancies are affected, with a worldwide incidence of more than 200,000 pregnancies annually.<sup>2</sup>

During the past decade, the incidence of GDM in Siriraj Hospital, a Thailand national tertiary center, has increased from 2-3% to 10-15%.

GDM can cause adverse maternal and fetal/neonatal outcomes such as the need for cesarean delivery, cephalopelvic disproportion, postpartum hemorrhage,

Corresponding author: Chayawat Phatihattakorn

E-mail: naeobgyn@gmail.com

Received 19 January 2021 Revised 22 February 2021 Accepted 24 February 2021

ORCID ID: <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7433-5386>

<http://dx.doi.org/10.33192/Smj.2021.42>

pregnancy-induced hypertension, large-for-gestational age (LGA) fetus, shoulder dystocia, neonatal hypoglycemia, and jaundice.<sup>3,4</sup> The incidence of LGA fetus in women with GDM was reported in the range of 15-20%.<sup>3,5,6</sup> The ability to diagnose LGA fetus in GDM women in advance would improve the management and outcomes of both women and their babies.

Ultrasonography in the third trimester was proven to be useful for predicting the actual birth weight.<sup>7,8</sup> Ultrasonography has been reported to help guide management and improve pregnancy outcomes in women with GDM.<sup>9</sup> However, to our knowledge, no study has addressed the accuracy of the third trimester ultrasound at 32-36 weeks' gestation, which is the period just after the maximal fetal growth rate, for predicting an LGA newborn in these women.

The current study was performed to determine the accuracy of ultrasound during 32-36 weeks' gestation for predicting LGA newborn in women with GDM.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This prospective cohort study was performed at Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand during January 2017 to January 2018. Women aged  $\geq 18$  years with a singleton pregnancy at 32-36 weeks' gestation, diagnosed with GDM, and without known fetal anomalies were included. The study was approved by the Siriraj Institutional Review Board (SIRB) (Si 007/2017). Written informed consent was obtained from all women.

Gestational age was based on either crown-rump length in the first trimester or last menstrual period correlating with BPD in the second trimester. Screening for GDM with 50-g glucose challenge test (50-g GCT) was performed in pregnant women with any of the following risk factors: age  $\geq 30$  years old, BMI  $>25$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>, family history of diabetes mellitus, history of GDM in previous pregnancy, history of dead fetus in utero (DFIU), fetal anomaly or a macrosomic baby in a previous pregnancy<sup>10</sup>. Women with an abnormal 50-g GCT ( $\geq 140$  mg/dl) underwent a 100-g oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT). According to Carpenter-Coustan criteria, GDM was diagnosed when two or more values were abnormal.

The women underwent ultrasound scanning using a machine with a 2-5 MHz curvilinear transabdominal transducer (Voluson E8; GE Healthcare, Zipf, Austria). Fetal biometry, namely, biparietal diameter (BPD), head circumference (HC), abdominal circumference (AC), and femur length (FL), were measured by an experienced physician. With inappropriate fetal position or acoustic

shadows, remeasurement was performed after a short break until standard planes were achieved in all pregnant women. Three measurements were obtained for each parameter and the averages were used to calculate the estimated fetal weight (EFW) by Hadlock formula.<sup>10</sup> EFW percentile was determined and was classified as small-for-gestational age (SGA) if the EFW was  $\leq 10^{\text{th}}$  percentile, LGA if the EFW was  $\geq 90^{\text{th}}$  percentile, and appropriate-for-gestational age (AGA) if the EFW was in the range between these two limits. Birth weight was classified as LGA ( $\geq 90^{\text{th}}$  percentile) or SGA ( $\leq 10^{\text{th}}$  percentile) status based on 2004-2008 WHO Global Survey on Maternal and Perinatal Health (WHOGS) data.<sup>12</sup> Macrosomia was defined when birth weight was 4,000 grams or more.

Body mass index (BMI) was categorized into four groups according to the 2009 Institute of Medicine (IOM)/National Research Council (NRC) guidelines as follows: underweight (BMI  $< 18.5$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>), normal (BMI 18.5-24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), overweight (BMI  $\geq 25.0$ -29.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), and obese (BMI  $\geq 30.0$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>). Recommended total weight gain in each group is 13-18 kg, 11-16 kg, 7-11 kg, and 5-9 kg, respectively.<sup>13</sup> Overweight and obese groups were defined as high BMI.

GDM management started with proper exercise and diet adjustment. Insulin would be added in cases uncontrollable by these two strategies. Glycemic follow-up checks were performed using either fasting blood sugar (FBS) (normal value:  $< 95$  mg/dl) with two-hour postprandial (2-h PP) blood sugar (normal value:  $< 120$  mg/dl) or 2-h PP alone. GDM diagnosed before 24 weeks of gestation was defined as early GDM, and GDM diagnosed after 24 weeks was defined as late GDM.<sup>11</sup>

Maternal complications, including gestational hypertension, preeclampsia, shoulder dystocia, 3<sup>rd</sup> or 4<sup>th</sup> degree laceration of birth canal, postpartum hemorrhage, and preterm delivery were recorded. Neonatal outcomes, including birth weight, birth asphyxia, subgaleal hematoma, hypoglycemia, polycythemia, jaundice, respiratory distress syndrome, and NICU admission, were also studied.

## Statistical analysis

SPSS Statistics version 21 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for statistical analyses. Sample size was calculated based on the study of Scifres et al.<sup>14</sup>, showing that the accuracy of third trimester ultrasound was 22.6% for predicting LGA newborn in women with GDM. With the error of 30% and loss of data of 10%, the required total sample size was 360.

Demographic data were summarized using descriptive statistics. Data are presented as number and percentage

for categorical variables, and mean  $\pm$  standard deviation for continuous variables. Student's t-test or Chi-square test was used to compare patient data between groups. Results of multivariate analysis are shown as adjusted odds ratio and 95% confidence interval. Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV), and cut-off value of third trimester ultrasound for predicting LGA newborn in women with GDM were also calculated. P-value of  $< 0.05$  was considered statistically significant.

## RESULTS

Of the 360 pregnant women initially recruited, 15 women were lost to follow-up and 345 women were included in the final analysis. The demographic and clinical characteristics of the women are shown in Table 1. Forty percent of the women had a high BMI. Almost

two-thirds of women were diagnosed with GDM before 24 weeks' gestation. Approximately 90% of women did not need insulin therapy. The three most common risk factors for GDM were age  $\geq 30$  years old, BMI  $> 25$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> and family history of diabetes mellitus.

Fetal parameters at 32-36 weeks' gestation are shown in Table 2. BPD, HC and FL of  $\geq 90^{\text{th}}$  percentile each accounted for 35.9-38.0% of women, and 20.3% of women had AC of  $\geq 90^{\text{th}}$  percentile. EFW of  $\geq 90$  percentile (LGA) was present in 13 (3.8%) fetuses.

Maternal and neonatal outcomes are described in Table 3. Forty-two percent of women were delivered vaginally while primary cesarean section was performed in 36.5%. Thirty-one percent of the neonates were LGA babies. The percentage of macrosomic newborns was 2.9%.

**TABLE 1.** Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of study women (N = 345)

| Characteristics                                | n (%)*          |
|--|-----------------|
| Age (years), mean $\pm$ SD                     | 34.4 $\pm$ 10.7 |
| BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ), mean $\pm$ SD        | 24.7 $\pm$ 5.0  |
| BMI classification                             |                 |
| Normal (18.5-24.9 kg/m <sup>2</sup> )          | 207 (60.0)      |
| Overweight ( $\geq 25$ kg/m <sup>2</sup> )     | 83 (24.1)       |
| Obese ( $\geq 30$ kg/m <sup>2</sup> )          | 55 (15.9)       |
| Nulliparity                                    | 141 (40.9)      |
| GA at GDM diagnosis (weeks), mean $\pm$ SD     | 17.9 $\pm$ 9.1  |
| Early GDM diagnosis                            | 218 (63.2)      |
| Well-controlled GDM                            |                 |
| Yes  | 290 (84.1)      |
| No   | 55 (15.9)       |
| GDM control                                    |                 |
| Diet   | 308 (89.3)      |
| Diet with insulin                              | 37 (10.7)       |
| Risk factors for GDM                           |                 |
| Age $\geq 30$ years                            | 286 (82.9)      |
| BMI $> 25$ kg/m <sup>2</sup>                   | 138 (40.0)      |
| Family history of diabetes mellitus            | 124 (35.9)      |
| History of GDM in previous pregnancy           | 17 (4.9)        |
| History of DFIU in previous pregnancy          | 6 (1.7)         |
| History of fetal anomaly in previous pregnancy | 9 (2.6)         |
| History of giving birth to macrosomic newborn  | 7 (2.0)         |

\*unless stated otherwise

**Abbreviations:** SD = standard deviation; BMI = body mass index; GA = gestational age; GDM = gestational diabetes mellitus; DFIU = dead fetus in utero

**TABLE 2.** Fetal parameters at 32-36 weeks' gestation (N=345)

| Parameters | Mean $\pm$ SD      | Percentile, n (%)     |                                  |                                      |                       |
|------------|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|
|            |                    | $\leq 10^{\text{th}}$ | $>10^{\text{th}}-50^{\text{th}}$ | 51 <sup>st</sup> -< 90 <sup>th</sup> | $\geq 90^{\text{th}}$ |
| BPD (mm)   | 83.5 $\pm$ 4.2     | 26 (7.5 %)            | 74 (21.4 %)                      | 119 (34.5 %)                         | 126 (36.5 %)          |
| HC (mm)    | 303.0 $\pm$ 15.1   | 34 (9.9 %)            | 74 (21.4 %)                      | 106 (30.7 %)                         | 131 (38.0 %)          |
| AC (mm)    | 295.8 $\pm$ 20.1   | 23 (6.7 %)            | 103 (29.9 %)                     | 149 (43.2 %)                         | 70 (20.3 %)           |
| FL (mm)    | 62.6 $\pm$ 3.5     | 17 (4.9 %)            | 61 (17.7 %)                      | 143 (41.4 %)                         | 124 (35.9 %)          |
| EFW (g)    | 2179.6 $\pm$ 375.0 | 56 (16.2 %)           | 201 (58.3 %)                     | 75 (21.7 %)                          | 13 (3.8 %)            |

**Abbreviations:** BPD = biparietal diameter; HC = head circumference; AC = abdominal circumference; FL = femur length; EFW = estimated fetal weight

**TABLE 3.** Maternal and neonatal outcomes (N = 345)

| Outcomes                              | n (%)*          |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|
| GA at delivery (weeks), mean $\pm$ SD | 38.0 $\pm$ 1.2  |
| Birth weight (grams), mean $\pm$ SD   | 3,148 $\pm$ 466 |
| Delivery route                        |                 |
| Spontaneous vaginal delivery          | 139 (40.3)      |
| Instrument-assisted delivery          | 6 (1.7)         |
| Primary cesarean section              | 126 (36.5)      |
| Repeat cesarean section               | 74 (21.4)       |
| LGA newborn                           | 107 (31.0)      |
| Macrosomia                            | 10 (2.9)        |
| Birth asphyxia                        | 10 (2.9)        |
| NICU admission                        | 4 (1.2)         |

\*unless stated otherwise

**Abbreviations:** GA = gestational age; LGA = large-for-gestational age; NICU = neonatal intensive care unit

Univariate analysis for factors associated with LGA newborn in GDM is shown in [Table 4](#). Women with high BMI and women with any fetal parameter of  $\geq 90^{\text{th}}$  percentile at 32-36 weeks' gestation were significantly more likely to deliver an LGA baby. All the 13 fetuses

with EFW of  $\geq 90^{\text{th}}$  percentile at 32-36 weeks' gestation were LGA at birth, resulting in PPV and specificity of 100%. However, the NPV and sensitivity were 71.7% and 12.2% respectively.

**TABLE 4.** Univariate analysis for factors associated with LGA newborn in GDM

| Variables                             | No LGA newborn   | LGA newborn      | p-value*         |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                                       | (N=238)<br>n (%) | (N=107)<br>n (%) |                  |
| BMI                                   |                  |                  | <b>0.038</b>     |
| Normal (18.5-24.9 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) | 150 (72.5)       | 57 (27.5)        |                  |
| Overweight (≥ 25 kg/m <sup>2</sup> )  | 58 (69.9)        | 25 (30.1)        |                  |
| Obese (≥ 30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> )       | 30 (54.5)        | 25 (45.5)        |                  |
| Gestational weight gain               |                  |                  | 0.345            |
| Below recommended range               | 99 (69.7)        | 43 (30.3)        |                  |
| Within recommended range              | 78 (72.9)        | 29 (27.1)        |                  |
| Above recommended range               | 61 (63.5)        | 35 (36.5)        |                  |
| Nulliparity                           | 98 (69.5)        | 43 (30.5)        | 0.863            |
| Multiparity                           | 140 (68.6)       | 64 (31.4)        |                  |
| Early GDM                             | 154 (70.6)       | 64 (29.4)        | 0.383            |
| Late GDM                              | 84 (66.1)        | 43 (33.9)        |                  |
| GDM control                           |                  |                  | 0.116            |
| Well-controlled                       | 33 (60.0)        | 22 (40.0)        |                  |
| Poorly-controlled                     | 205 (70.7)       | 85 (29.3)        |                  |
| BPD ≥ 90 <sup>th</sup> percentile     | 66 (52.4)        | 60 (47.6)        | <b>&lt;0.001</b> |
| < 90 <sup>th</sup> percentile         | 172 (78.5)       | 47 (21.5)        |                  |
| HC ≥ 90 <sup>th</sup> percentile      | 69 (51.9)        | 64 (48.1)        | <b>&lt;0.001</b> |
| < 90 <sup>th</sup> percentile         | 169 (79.7)       | 43 (20.3)        |                  |
| AC ≥ 90 <sup>th</sup> percentile      | 19 (26.0)        | 54 (74.0)        | <b>&lt;0.001</b> |
| < 90 <sup>th</sup> percentile         | 219 (80.5)       | 53 (19.5)        |                  |
| FL ≥ 90 <sup>th</sup> percentile      | 72 (55.4)        | 58 (44.6)        | <b>&lt;0.001</b> |
| < 90 <sup>th</sup> percentile         | 166 (77.2)       | 49 (22.8)        |                  |
| EFW ≥ 90 <sup>th</sup> percentile     | 0 (0.0)          | 13 (100)         | <b>&lt;0.001</b> |
| < 90 <sup>th</sup> percentile         | 238 (71.7)       | 94 (28.3)        |                  |

\*p-value < 0.05 indicates statistical significance

**Abbreviations:** LGA = large-for-gestational age; GDM = gestational diabetes mellitus; BMI = body mass index; BPD = biparietal diameter; HC = head circumference; AC = abdominal circumference; FL = femur length; EFW = estimated fetal weight

The comparison between groups was performed using Chi-square test.

Multivariate analysis for factors independently associated with LGA newborn in GDM is shown in Table 5. AC and HC of  $\geq 90^{\text{th}}$  percentile were independent predictors of LGA newborn with adjusted odds ratio (OR) of 6.5 and 2.0 respectively. We determined the adjusted OR of EFW of  $\geq 85^{\text{th}}$  percentile because EFW  $\geq 90^{\text{th}}$  percentile would produce a “zero” value in calculation formula. EFW of  $\geq 85^{\text{th}}$  percentile was the strongest factor of LGA newborn with the adjusted OR of 9.3.

EFW of  $\geq 90^{\text{th}}$  percentile resulted in 100% positive predictive value and 100% specificity for identification of fetuses at risk to be born LGA. However, as this cutoff accounted for 3.8% of the fetuses measured at the third

trimester, the benefit was limited. In addition, a number of the actual LGA neonates would be missed. Therefore, we tried lower cutoff percentiles in an attempt to increase the sensitivity of third trimester ultrasonography to predict LGA babies. Table 6 shows performance using various cutoffs. Using cutoff levels at  $80^{\text{th}}$  and  $70^{\text{th}}$  percentile could increase the sensitivity while slightly reducing the PPV and specificity.

Regarding different timing of ultrasonography, no difference of performance in predicting an LGA baby was observed between examination at 32-34 weeks' and 34-36 weeks' gestation.

**TABLE 5.** Multivariate analysis for factors independently associated with LGA newborn in GDM

| Variables  | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | p-value*         |
|--|----------------------|------------------|
| BMI  |                      |                  |
| Normal (18.5-24.9 kg/m <sup>2</sup> )                | 1                    | 0.253            |
| Underweight (<18.5 kg/m <sup>2</sup> )               | 0.8 (0.4-1.6)        | 0.53             |
| Overweight and obese ( $\geq 25$ kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) | 1.6 (0.7-3.5)        | 0.20             |
| BPD $\geq 90^{\text{th}}$ percentile                 | 1.3 (0.6-2.7)        | 0.406            |
| HC $\geq 90^{\text{th}}$ percentile                  | 2.0 (1.0-4.0)        | <b>0.048</b>     |
| AC $\geq 90^{\text{th}}$ percentile                  | 6.5 (3.3-12.8)       | <b>&lt;0.001</b> |
| FL $\geq 90^{\text{th}}$ percentile                  | 1.7 (0.9-3.0)        | 0.059            |
| EFW $\geq 85^{\text{th}}$ percentile                 | 9.3 (1.1-77.9)       | <b>0.038</b>     |

\*p-value < 0.05 indicates statistical significance

**Abbreviations:** BMI = body mass index; BPD = biparietal diameter; HC = head circumference; AC = abdominal circumference; FL = femur length; EFW = estimated fetal weight

**TABLE 6.** Estimated fetal weight (EFW) cutoff percentile for predicting LGA newborn in GDM

| Cutoff percentile                    | PPV (%) | Specificity (%) | Sensitivity (%) | NPV (%) |
|--------------------------------------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|
| EFW $\geq 90^{\text{th}}$ percentile | 100     | 100             | 12.1            | 71.7    |
| EFW $\geq 80^{\text{th}}$ percentile | 90.3    | 98.7            | 26.2            | 74.8    |
| EFW $\geq 70^{\text{th}}$ percentile | 88.0    | 97.5            | 41.1            | 78.6    |

**Abbreviations:** LGA = large-for-gestational age; GDM = gestational diabetes mellitus; PPV = positive predictive value

## DISCUSSION

This prospective cohort study demonstrated that EFW obtained by ultrasound in the third trimester is useful for predicting LGA newborn in women with GDM, especially when all parameters (BPD, HC, AC, and FL) were measured to calculate EFW. Considering these parameters individually,  $HC \geq 90^{\text{th}}$  percentile and  $AC \geq 90^{\text{th}}$  percentile were able to predict LGA newborn, with AC being the stronger associating parameter.

The present study found that EFW of  $\geq 90^{\text{th}}$  percentile at 32-36 weeks' gestation yielded a PPV of 100%, a specificity of 100%, a NPV of 71.7%, and a sensitivity of 12.2% in prediction of LGA at birth. Previous studies reported lower PPV and specificity with higher NPV and sensitivity,<sup>9,14</sup> whereas the most recent study showed high specificity and low sensitivity, which is similar to our study.<sup>15</sup> The disparity in findings may be due to differences in study population, risk factors, and GDM screening method. Inclusion criteria and the reference growth chart used in other studies were different from ours. Specifically, one study included only women with early GDM and pregestational diabetes,<sup>9</sup> and another used a United States National Reference for Fetal Growth that was published in 1996.<sup>16</sup> The study period during gestation also varied, with one study performing ultrasound during a gestational age range from 28 to 32<sup>6,7</sup> weeks' gestation.<sup>9</sup> In addition, the previously cited studies used EFW percentile cutoffs of 70<sup>th</sup>, 75<sup>th</sup>, and 80<sup>th</sup> percentile, whereas the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile was used in this study.

The birth weight percentile used in this study was based on 2004-2008 WHO Global Survey on Maternal and Perinatal Health (WHOGS) data,<sup>12</sup> which recruited pregnant women across most countries worldwide, including Thai women. This study, in addition, could be more applicable in clinical practice in comparison to the previous study<sup>14</sup> as the ultrasound was performed during 32-36 weeks' gestation, just after maximal acceleration of fetal growth.

Among various formulas, Hadlock I and III perform best in estimating fetal weight, with Hadlock I having a lower mean absolute percentage error (MAPE).<sup>11,17</sup> Accordingly, Hadlock I formula was used in this study. The present study reveals EFW as the best predictor of LGA newborn in women with GDM. Among all parameters evaluated in this study for estimating fetal weight, AC was found to be the strongest individual predictor of LGA newborn. This finding was similar to that from a previous study which found that AC was the parameter with highest sensitivity. This may be explained by fat accumulation and liver glycogen storage when fetal weight increases in late pregnancy.<sup>18</sup>

This study suggests that the third trimester ultrasound for fetal biometry should be performed in all women with GDM to identify fetus at risk to be LGA at birth. EFW using all parameters (AC, HC, BPD, and FL) provided high PPV and high specificity. However, measuring only AC may be acceptable when measuring all parameters is not feasible due to improper fetal position or difficult maternal habitus.

Despite a high PPV and a high specificity,  $EFW \geq 90^{\text{th}}$  percentile had a low sensitivity and low NPV to predict LGA at birth. Lowering to 80<sup>th</sup> and 70<sup>th</sup> percentile cutoff values improved the sensitivity and NPV with a slightly reduced PPV and specificity. Concerning gestational age at examination, performance of ultrasonography to predict an LGA neonate was comparable between performing at 32-24 and 34-36 weeks' gestation.

The strengths of the study include its prospective cohort design, and the fact that the reference of EFW was derived from an international standard. A few limitations were also appreciated. Women's glycemic control was only assessed from the values of FBS and 2-h PP blood sugar at antenatal visits, so blood sugar level trends and fluctuations were not examined. Moreover, GDM management during the remaining time before birth could affect the fetal growth.

This study may guide physicians to give special attention for fetuses diagnosed with LGA from ultrasound at a hospital in rural areas for delivery or referral planning in advance.

## CONCLUSION

Estimated fetal weight derived from the fetal biometry measured in the third trimester had a high PPV and specificity with a low to moderate sensitivity in predicting LGA at birth.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to medical personnel of the Maternal-Fetal Medicine Unit, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University for assistance with study.

## Conflict of interest declaration

All authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## REFERENCES

1. Committee on Practice B-O. Practice Bulletin No. 137: Gestational diabetes mellitus. *Obstet Gynecol* 2013;122:406-16.
2. Mpondo BC, Ernest A, Dee HE. Gestational diabetes mellitus: challenges in diagnosis and management. *J Diabetes Metab Disord* 2015;14:42.
3. Srichumchit S, Luewan S, Tongsong T. Outcomes of pregnancy

- with gestational diabetes mellitus. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 2015;131:251-4.
4. Weissmann-Brenner A, Simchen MJ, Zilberberg E, Kalter A, Weisz B, Achiron R, et al. Maternal and neonatal outcomes of large for gestational age pregnancies. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 2012;91:844-9.
  5. Johns K, Olynik C, Mase R, Kreisman S, Tildesley H. Gestational diabetes mellitus outcome in 394 patients. *J Obstet Gynaecol Can* 2006;28:122-7.
  6. Boriboonhirunsarn D, Kasempipatchai V. Incidence of large for gestational age infants when gestational diabetes mellitus is diagnosed early and late in pregnancy. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res* 2016;42:273-8.
  7. Eze CU, Ohagwu CC, Abonyi LC, Irurhe NK, Ibitoye ZA. Reliability of Sonographic Estimation of Fetal Weight: A Study of Three Tertiary Hospitals in Nigeria. *Saudi J Med Med Sci* 2017;5:38-44.
  8. Ben-Haroush A, Yogev Y, Bar J, Mashlach R, Kaplan B, Hod M, et al. Accuracy of sonographically estimated fetal weight in 840 women with different pregnancy complications prior to induction of labor. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2004;23:172-6.
  9. Nelson L, Wharton B, Grobman WA. Prediction of large for gestational age birth weights in diabetic mothers based on early third-trimester sonography. *J Ultrasound Med* 2011;30:1625-8.
  10. Bunthalarath S, Sunsaneevithayakul P, Boriboonhirunsarn D. Risk factors for early diagnosis of gestational diabetes mellitus. *J Med Assoc Thai* 2004;87 Suppl 3:S50-3.
  11. Esinler D, Bircan O, Esin S, Sahin EG, Kandemir O, Yalvac S. Finding the best formula to predict the fetal weight: comparison of 18 formulas. *Gynecol Obstet Invest* 2015;80:78-84.
  12. Mikolajczyk RT, Zhang J, Betran AP, Souza JP, Mori R, Gulmezoglu AM, et al. A global reference for fetal-weight and birthweight percentiles. *Lancet* 2011;377:1855-61.
  13. Rasmussen KM, Catalano PM, Yaktine AL. New guidelines for weight gain during pregnancy: what obstetrician/gynecologists should know. *Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol* 2009;21:521-6.
  14. Scifres CM, Feghali M, Dumont T, Althouse AD, Speer P, Caritis SN, et al. Large-for-Gestational-Age Ultrasound Diagnosis and Risk for Cesarean Delivery in Women With Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. *Obstet Gynecol* 2015;126:978-86.
  15. Simpson KJ, Pavicic M, Lee GT. What is the accuracy of an early third trimester sonogram for identifying LGA infants born to GDM patients diagnosed with the one-step approach? *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 2018;31:2628-33.
  16. Alexander GR, Himes JH, Kaufman RB, Mor J, Kogan M. A United States national reference for fetal growth. *Obstet Gynecol* 1996;87:163-8.
  17. Siemer J, Egger N, Hart N, Meurer B, Muller A, Dathe O, et al. Fetal weight estimation by ultrasound: comparison of 11 different formulae and examiners with differing skill levels. *Ultraschall Med* 2008;29:159-64.
  18. Ashimi Balogun O, Sibai BM, Pedroza C, Blackwell SC, Barrett TL, Chauhan SP. Serial Third-Trimester Ultrasonography Compared With Routine Care in Uncomplicated Pregnancies: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Obstet Gynecol* 2018;132:1358-67.