

Evaluation of the Benefit of Intraoperative Frozen Section Analysis of Sentinel Lymph Nodes in Clinically Negative Node Early Breast Cancer after ACOSOG Z0011 Era

Thanakorn Orapan

Doctor of the surgery department,

Suratthani Hospital, Thailand

Abstract

Background: Sentinel lymph node (SLN) biopsy (SLNB) is the standard practice for patients with clinically negative axillary lymph node. Frozen section was used to reduce the rate of re-operation in the case of sentinel lymph node (SLN) positive. From ACOSOG Z0011 and AMAROS trials, they supported that axillary lymph node dissection (ALND) can be omitted in selected patients. Globally, frozen section has been decreased significantly.

Objective: To determine the re-operative rate in the patient that limited SLN metastasis treated following ACOSOG Z0011 and determine factors that associated with metastatic involvement of axillary lymph nodes (ALN).

Material and Method: Patients who diagnosed early breast cancer with clinically node negative were retrospectively reviewed. All patients were initial treated with total mastectomy, lumpectomy, ALND and SLNB from 2014 to 2018 were collected. Demographic data was recorded, re-operative was calculated and the risk of metastasis to ALN were analyzed in relation to clinicopathological determinants.

Result: 190 patients were enrolled in this study. 19 patients (10%) required ALND. Factors associated with ALN metastasis in univariate analyses were age >50 year, HER-2 expression, lymphovascular invasion (LVI), and extra nodal extension. All factors identified with univariate analyses were entered into a multivariate logistic regression model and HER-2 expression (OR=2.42, $p=0.006$), LVI (OR=3.53, $p<0.001$) and extra nodal extension (OR=8.11, $p=0.008$) remained as independent factors of ALN metastasis.

Conclusion: The chances of having to re-operation are relatively low following ACOSOG Z0011. HER-2 expression, LVI and extra nodal extension are factors that associated with ALN metastasis in patient with early breast cancer.

Keyword: early breast cancer, frozen section, sentinel lymph node

Original Article

Corresponding author: Thanakorn Orapan, Position: Specialized Physician Surgery Department, Surat Thani Hospital, Phone Number: 063-914-4959, E-mail Address: sarelensar@gmail.com

Received: 13/12/2020

Revised: 25/12/2020

Accepted: 04/06/2021

doi: 10.14456/reg11med.2021.32

Background

Currently, breast cancer is the most common cancer in Thailand and the mortality rate is quite high.¹ Triple assessment includes three modalities, physical examination, imaging (mammography and/or ultrasound), and biopsy (fine needle aspiration and core biopsy) is standard practice and the robustness of assessment towards the diagnosis of breast cancer is crucial. Therefore, early detection of breast cancer and appropriate treatment are important. Mastectomy with axillary staging and breast conserving surgery (BCS) with axillary staging and radiation therapy are considered equivalent treatments for patients with early stage breast cancer.² Most patients with early breast cancer are candidates for BCS because of the cosmetic advantage, equivalent survival outcomes, positively impacts patient well-being and quality of life; however, there are contraindications in some situations.³ The most important prognostic factors in early breast cancer is axillary lymph node involvement.²⁻⁴ Axillary lymph node dissection (ALND) is still the standard procedure for axillary staging and therapeutic procedure for breast cancer. There are significant morbidities after ALND, including lymphedema of the ipsilateral arm, numbness, chronic pain, and reduced range of motion at the shoulder joint.³⁻⁴ Recently, sentinel lymph node biopsy (SLNB) has been developed and become the standard of care for the patients with clinically lymph node negative breast cancer. SLNB is developed to reduce the morbidity associated with ALND while still providing accurate axillary staging.²

However, if SLNB is positive, the standard treatment remains completion ALND.

The results of the ACOSOG Z0011 study have changed treatment practices. There is no overall survival difference for patients with 1 or 2 positive sentinel lymph nodes (SLNs) treated with breast conserving surgery who underwent completion ALND vs. those who have no further axillary surgery.⁵⁻⁷ In addition, the American Society of Breast Surgeons issued a consensus statement supporting omission of ALND for patients who meet Z0011 criteria.⁸ In the other hand, information from AMAROS trial suggests that patients who do not fit with the criteria of Z0011 trial, axillary radiotherapy is a valid treatment option with less morbidity than ALND.⁹

SLNB with intraoperative frozen section becomes common practice and review of the literature showed that the sensitivity varies between 52% and 89%.¹⁰ Moreover, previous meta-analysis showed the test accuracy ranging from 88 to 96%.¹⁰ ASCO guideline (2014) reviewed limitations of frozen section diagnosis but it does not encourage or discourage the use of frozen section diagnosis for SLNB.¹¹ Bishop (2016)¹² reported that following Z0011 trial, 97% of the patients are spared axillary lymph node dissection and intraoperative SLN evaluation has significantly decreased. Therefore, routine frozen section diagnosis for sentinel lymph node biopsies can be avoided in these patients. In addition, Caudle (2012)¹³ and Wright (2015)¹⁴ reported a decline in intraoperative evaluation of SLNB from 69% pre-Z0011 to 26% and from 92% to 45%, respectively.

Prior to the published ACOSOG Z0011 trial results, ALND is performed to almost breast cancer patients in our institution. We analyzed the data of axillary lymph node (ALN) study in early breast cancer patients with clinically negative node which SLNB is the standard in the axillary management. However, false negative rate for SLNB less than 5%.³ The aim of this study is to determine re-operative rate in patients with limited SLN metastasis treats following ACOSOG Z0011 trial. In addition, we also determine the factors that associated with metastatic involvement of the axillary lymph nodes (ALN) and promote SLNB in the rural area of Thailand that frozen section is unavailable.

Material and Method

This hospital-based descriptive study was performed at Suratthani Hospital, Suratthani, Thailand, from January 2014 to December 2018. It includes all patients with T1-2 primary and clinically negative. 190 from 202 patients were eligible for this study. We excluded 12 patients due to incomplete clinicopathological data. They have initial treats with total mastectomy, lumpectomy, ALND and SLNB. Demographic data, clinical and pathological characteristics are retrospectively collected. Following ACOSOG Z0011 trial, the patient required ALND if the SLN is positive more than 2 nodes. We analyzed the patient who have 3 or more positive of SLN in percentage. The risks of metastasis to ALN are analyzed in relation to clinicopathological determinants.

Approval from our institutional ethics committee is obtained for this study. Continuous variables are reported using mean

values. Categorical variables are reported as counts and proportions, and compared using the Chi square test or the Fisher exact test as appropriate. Multiple logistic regression is performed to identify independent predictors of renal exploration. The significance of the difference is estimated using Chi square for qualitative variables. Variables attaining $p < 0.05$ on univariate analysis or considered clinically relevant are included in the multivariate analysis. Statistical analysis is performed with STATA®. A probability value of $p < 0.05$ is considered statistically significant.

Result

During the study period, 190 patients with T1-2 primary and clinically negative are included in our study. All patients are women with 53-year mean age while 100 patients (56.2%) are over 50 years. 91 patients (47.9%) are obese ($BMI \geq 25$) and 69 patients (36.3%) have co-morbidity. Only 5 patients (2.6%) have family history of breast cancer. More than half of patients have tumor located lateral on left side. 181 patients (95.2%) are underwent total mastectomy while 185 patients (97.3%) are underwent ALND.

Average tumor size is 24 mm. and 62.6% of patients are staged T₂. Invasive ductal carcinoma is the most common type of breast cancer and the lesser types are metaplastic carcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma, invasive lobular carcinoma, invasive papillary carcinoma and mucinous carcinoma. The histologic Bloom-Richardson grade I, II and III are 12 (6.3%), 84 (44.2%) and 89 (46.8%), respectively. Most of patients have ER-positive (72.6%), PR-positive (65.2%) and HER-2 negative (65.8%) meanwhile the

luminal A is the most of luminal subtype (48.9%) and triple negative subtype (16.8%). More than half of patients have LVI; however, only 10 patients (5.2%) have extra nodal

extension. Epidemiologic characteristics, clinical and histopathologic data of the study patients are given in the Table 1.

Table 1 Patient and Tumor Characteristics (n=190)

<i>Characteristic</i>		Spare ALND		ALND	<i>P value</i>
		LN	LN	LN	
		0-mi (n=125)	1-2 (n=46)	≥ 3 (n=19)	
Age	Mean (years)	54	51	48	<0.001
	> 50	73	22	5	0.025
	≤ 50	52	24	14	
BMI	≥ 25	62	20	9	0.776
	<25	63	26	10	
Underlying disease	Yes	49	16	4	0.300
	No	76	30	15	
Family Hx	Yes	2	3	0	0.153
	No	123	43	19	
Location	lateral	76	28	15	0.301
	medial	49	18	4	
Side	Rt.	51	28	8	0.062
	Lt.	74	18	11	
Breast surgery	mastectomy	118	45	18	0.642
	lumpectomy	7	1	1	

Table 1 Patient and Tumor Characteristics (n=190) (cont.)

Characteristic		Spare ALND		ALND	P value
		LN	LN	LN	
		0-mi (n=125)	1-2 (n=46)	≥ 3 (n=19)	
<i>Axilla surgery</i>	ALND	120	46	19	0.263
	SLNB	5	0	0	
<i>Size</i>	mean (mm)	23	25	24	0.330
<i>T staging</i>	T1	51	15	5	0.356
	T2	74	31	14	
<i>Histologic type</i>	Invasive ductal	118	44	19	0.537
	CA				
	Other	7	2	0	
<i>Histologic grading</i>	1	11	1	0	0.461
	2	53	20	11	
	3	57	24	8	
	unknown	4	1	0	
<i>ER</i>	positive	92	33	13	0.884
	negative	33	13	6	
<i>PR</i>	positive	83	27	14	0.463
	negative	42	19	5	
<i>HER-2</i>	positive	34	20	11	0.010
	negative	91	26	8	
<i>Luminal</i>	A	66	20	7	0.072
	B	27	15	7	
	HER-2 enrich	7	5	4	
	triple neg	25	6	1	
<i>LVI</i>	positive	56	35	12	0.001
	negative	69	11	7	
<i>Extra nodal extension</i>	yes	0	7	3	<0.001
	no	125	39	16	

We compare all clinical data, histopathologic data and immunohistochemistry data of the patients who candidate to omitted ALND following ACOSOG Z0011 trial (spare ALND group; N = 171) with patients required ALND (ALND group; n = 19), so the re-operation rate in our study is 10%. We found that there are no significant differences between both groups in terms of BMI, underlying disease, family history of breast cancer, location of tumor, operation, characteristics of tumor and hormonal receptor status. However,

significant differences between both groups are found regarding to age, HER-2 expression, presence of LVI and extra nodal extension (Table 1).

We found that 65 patients (34.2%) who had positive ALN. So, to define those independently-associated factors with ALN metastasis, we show logistic regression analysis. In univariate analysis, patients age over 50 years, HER-2 expression, presence of LVI and extra nodal extension are significantly correlated with ALN metastasis (table 2).

Table 2 Univariate analysis (n=190)

<i>Characteristic</i>	<i>Univariate Analysis</i>			
	95% CI		P value	OR
	lower	upper		
<i>Age > 50</i>	0.275	0.930	0.028	0.51
<i>Family Hx of breast CA</i>	0.484	18.276	0.239	2.97
<i>Lateral side</i>	0.633	2.159	0.618	1.17
<i>T2</i>	0.821	2.929	0.176	1.55
<i>Histologic type (non IDCA)</i>	0.455	2.634	0.840	1.09
<i>Histologic gr. III</i>	0.634	2.108	0.634	1.16
<i>ER-negative</i>	0.446	1.691	0.678	0.87
<i>PR-negative</i>	0.462	1.616	0.648	0.86
<i>HER-2 expression</i>	1.356	4.766	0.004	2.54
<i>Triple negative</i>	0.197	1.185	0.112	0.48
<i>Present of LVI</i>	1.851	6.758	<0.001	3.53
<i>Extra nodal extension</i>	1.933	42.69	0.005	9.08

However, all statistically-significant factors in univariate analysis are included in the multivariable analysis. The results show that HER-2 expression (OR= 2.42, CI 1.28-4.57, P=0.006), presence of LVI (OR=3.53, CI 1.81-

6.88, P <0.001), and extra nodal extension (OR= 8.11, CI 1.74-37.83, P=0.008) remains as independent factors of ALN metastasis (table 3).

Table 3: Multivariate analysis

Characteristic	Multivariate Analysis			
	95% CI		P value	OR
	lower	upper		
Age > 50	0.289	1.004	0.052	0.539
HER-2 expression	1.281	4.573	0.006	2.42
Present of LVI	1.809	6.885	<0.001	3.53
Extra nodal extension	1.737	37.835	0.008	8.11

Discussion

After the results of ACOSOG Z0011 trial published in 2010-2011, it has made dramatically changes in surgical practice for treatment of early breast cancer. Patients with criteria of ACOSOG Z0011 trial, T1 to T2 tumor and 1-2 positive lymph nodes are treated with BCS and whole-breast irradiation, they can omit ALND. In this study, 90% of patients with ACOSOG Z0011 criteria would be spared by ALND. Similarly, other studies reported the same rate of patients who could be spared ALND.^{9, 12, 13, 15} Of course, the complications from ALND will be reduced as well. Moreover, the AMAROS trial which was studied in the same population as ACOSOG Z0011 trial, demonstrates that the axillary recurrence-free rate of patients with SLNB-proven metastasis treated with radiotherapy is non-inferior to those treated with ALND.⁹

Post ACOSOG Z0011 trial, frozen section of SLN has been decreased significantly.^{12, 13, 15} It corresponds to the rural area of Thailand that frozen section is unavailable. Therefore, if we can select patients who have low risk to non-SLN metastasis, it will promote more SLN and reduce complications from unnecessary ALND. In this study, only 10% of patients

who could be proceeded to ALND. If these patients can undergo by SLNB without frozen section, the re-operation rate is about 10%. However, even if the ACOSOG Z0011 trial was followed, there were still 3 factors that maybe correlated to re-operation, from Table 1. It is just a minor difference from the several demonstrated studies.^{12, 15} It may be because of the fewer of sample size.

Patient selection is important. Therefore, we have studied the risk factors for ALN metastasis and found that age (>50 years), HER-2 expression, presence of LVI and extra nodal extension are significant factor in univariate analysis. Several studies^{16, 18, 19} showed that there are the same factors to this study, while another studies^{17, 20} that demonstrated different results. For examples, young women (<40 years), tumor size (>2 cm.), multifocality, lateral TQLs (tumor quadrant locations), invasive ductal carcinoma, histological grade and hormonal receptor status. However, in multivariable analysis, HER-2 expression (OR=2.42 [95% CI, 1.28-4.57]; p=0.006), presence of LVI (OR=3.53 [95% CI, 1.81-6.88]; p<0.001) and extra nodal extension (OR=8.11 [95% CI, 1.74-37.83]; p= 0.008) are the strong factors associated with ALN metastasis. So, our study

recommend that patient who clinical T1-2 primary with clinically negative node and no factor that associated with ALN metastasis would be appropriated to performed SLNB without intraoperative frozen section analysis.

However, there are several limitations of this study. First, it is a retrospective nature review that only enrolled patients from a single center. Second, the sample size included in this study is relatively small. It causes other variables to have less significant difference even those factors have significant difference in univariate analysis. Based on the result, clinicians may refer the strong factors and make better clinical decisions.

Conclusion

Base on the data of ACOSOG Z0011 trial, we found that lower rate of ALND in early breast cancer patients in the meantime of lower rate of re-operation in case of limited frozen section. HER-2 expression, LVI and extra nodal extension are significant factors associated with ALN metastasis in patients with early breast cancer. In this study, we recommended that SLNB without frozen by selecting low risk patients is proper for the rural area with frozen section limitation and it also decreases the unnecessary complication from ALND.

Reference

1. Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2018;68(6):394–424.
2. Charles B, Dana K, Timothy R, David L, John G, Jeffrey B, Raphael E. Schwartz

textbook of surgery 10th edition. Los Angelis: McGraw-Hill Education / Medical; 2014.

3. Jay R. Harris, Marc E. Lippman, Monica Morrow, C. Kent Osborne. Diseases of the breast Fifth edition. Philadelphia: Wolters Kluwer Health; 2014
4. Courtney M, Townsend R, Daniel B, Mark E, Kenneth L. Sabiston textbook of surgery 20th edition. Philadelphia: Elsevier; 2017.

5. Giuliano AE, McCall L, Beitsch P, et al. Locoregional recurrence after sentinel lymph node dissection with or without axillary dissection in patients with sentinel lymph node metastases: the American College of Surgeons Oncology Group Z0011 randomized trial. *Ann Surg.* 2010; 252:426–32.

6. Giuliano AE, Hawes D, Ballman KV, et al. Association of Occult Metastases in Sentinel Lymph Nodes and Bone Marrow With Survival Among Women With Early-Stage Invasive Breast Cancer. *JAMA.* 2011;306:385–93.

7. Giuliano AE, Hunt KK, Ballman KV, et al. Axillary dissection vs no axillary dissection in women with invasive breast cancer and sentinel node metastasis: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA.* 2011;305:569–75.

8. The American Society of Breast Surgeons position statement on management of the axilla in patients with invasive breast cancer. Available at http://www.breastsurgeons.org/statements/PDF_Statements/Axillary_Management.pdf. Accessed 26 February, 2014

9. Donker M, van Tienhoven G, Straver ME, et al. Radiotherapy or surgery of the

axilla after a positive sentinel node in breast cancer (EORTC 10981- 22023 AMAROS): a randomised, multicentre, openlabel, phase 3 non-inferiority trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2014;15:1303-10.

10. Barakat FH, Sulaiman I, Sughayer MA. Reliability of frozen section in breast sentinel lymph node examination. *Breast Cancer*. 2014; 21(5): 576-82.

11. Lyman GH, Temin S, Edge SB, et al. Sentinel lymph node biopsy for patients with early-stage breast cancer: American Society of Clinical Oncology clinical practice guideline update. *J Clin Oncol*. 2014;32(13):1365–83.

12. Bishop JA, Sun J, Ajkay N, Sanders MAG. Decline in Frozen Section Diagnosis for Axillary Sentinel Lymph Nodes as a Result of the American College of Surgeons Oncology Group Z0011 Trial. *Arch Pathol Lab Med*. 2016;140(8):830–5.

13. Caudle AS, Hunt KK, Tucker SL, et al. American College of Surgeons Oncology Group (ACOSOG) Z0011: impact on surgeon practice patterns. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2012;19(10):3144–51.

14. Wright GP, Mater ME, Sobel HL, et al. Measuring the impact of the American College of Surgeons Oncology Group Z0011 trial on breast cancer surgery in a community health system. *Am J Surg*. 2015;209(2):240–5.

15. Malik AA, Urooj N, Shamim R, Salim M, Bano R, Chaudhry Z, et al. Managing the Axilla in Early Breast Cancer. Impact of ACOSOG Z0011 Trial in Changing Practices in a Low Middle Income Country. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev [Internet]*. 2017;18(8).

16. Sandoughdaran S, Malekzadeh M, Akbari ME. Frequency and Predictors of Axillary Lymph Node Metastases in Iranian

Women with Early Breast Cancer. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev [Internet]*. 2018;19(6).

17. Xie F, Yang H, Wang S, Zhou B, Tong F, Yang D, et al. A Logistic Regression Model for Predicting Axillary Lymph Node Metastases in Early Breast Carcinoma Patients. *Sensors*. 2012 Jul 23;12(7):9936–50.

18. Majid S, Rydén L, Manjer J. Predictive factors for sentinel node metastases in primary invasive breast cancer: a population-based cohort study of 2552 consecutive patients. *World J Surg Onc*. 2018 Dec;16(1):54.

19. Karahallı Ö, Acar T, Atahan MK, Acar N, Hacıyanlı M, Kamer KE. Clinical and Pathological Factors Affecting the Sentinel Lymph Node Metastasis in Patients with Breast Cancer. *Indian J Surg*. 2017 Oct;79(5):418–22.

20. Kurnaz E, Celebi B, Karapolat B, Eken H, Kucuktulu U. Risk factors for axillary lymph node metastasis in breast cancer. *Ann Med Res*. 2019;26(10):2139.