Review Article • บทฟื้นฟูวิชาการ

Towards a Healthier Society: Strategies for Medical Practitioners' Malpractice in Healthcare Systems

Yananan Ratanatherawichian[1], Benjamas Preechakoon[2], Pattamon Tippakon[3], Phongthara Vichitvejpaisal[4]

[1] *Urological Surgery Unit, Perioperative Nursing Division, Department of Nursing, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand*

[2] *Consultant in Educational Evaluation, Former Head of Perioperative Nursing Division, Department of Nursing, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand*

[3] *Perioperative Care Unit, Perioperative Nursing Division, Department of Nursing, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand*

[4] *Department of Anesthesiology, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand*

Abstract

Medical practitioners' malpractice represents a complex and pervasive challenge within healthcare systems, encompassing a wide range of behaviors and systemic issues that compromise patient safety and undermine public trust. This article provides a comprehensive examination of medical practitioners' malpractice, synthesizing existing knowledge with evidence-based strategies and collaborative approaches to address the issue effectively. Various factors contributing to malpractice are identified, including healthcare provider fatigue, communication breakdowns, inadequate training, and organizational culture. Practical recommendations for preventing and mitigating malpractice include enhancing transparency and accountability within healthcare systems, strengthening regulation and oversight of medical practices, fostering a culture of continuous learning and improvement, and empowering patients through shared decision-making. By leveraging collaborative approaches and evidence-based interventions, healthcare organizations, policymakers, and patients can work together to mitigate the risks of malpractice and enhance the quality of care provided to all individuals.

Keywords: accountability, healthcare systems, medical malpractice, patient empowerment, patient safety

Introduction

In contemporary healthcare systems, medical practitioners' malpractice emerges as a pressing concern requiring immediate attention. Malpractice denotes instances where healthcare professionals diverge from accepted standards of care, resulting in patient harm.[1] Addressing this issue is paramount for ensuring patient safety, upholding medical practice integrity, and

Correspondence to: Phongthara Vichitvejpaisal, E-mail: phongthara@gmail.com

Received May 17, 2024, Revised April 23, 2025, Accepted April 23, 2025

sustaining public trust in healthcare institutions.[2] The ramifications of medical practitioners' malpractice transcend individual patient outcomes to impact the wider healthcare framework. Incidents of malpractice not only inflict physical and emotional anguish but also impose financial strains on patients, families, and the healthcare system overall.[3] Furthermore, malpractice undermines patient confidence in healthcare providers and institutions, hindering effective healthcare delivery.

This article aims to dissect the multifaceted nature of medical practitioners' malpractice, offering evidence-based strategies for resolution. It begins by defining malpractice and its significance in healthcare systems, then explores its detrimental effects on patient outcomes and public trust. Challenges in addressing malpractice, including identification barriers and legal complexities, are elucidated. Subsequently, evidence-based interventions such as transparency enhancement and fostering a culture of continuous improvement among healthcare professionals are proposed. Systemic issues contributing to malpractice, such as nepotism, cronyism and corruption, are also addressed, alongside collaborative approaches to remedy these challenges.

Malpractice in healthcare settings

Medical practitioners' malpractice can occur in various healthcare settings, including hospitals, clinics, nursing homes, and private practices.[4]

Diagnostic errors: These occur when a healthcare provider fails to accurately diagnose a patient's condition, leading to delayed treatment, misdiagnosis, or inappropriate treatment.[5]

Treatment errors: Treatment errors involve mistakes made during medical procedures, surgeries, or medication administration. These errors can result in adverse outcomes such as complications, infections, or worsening of the patient's condition.

Surgical errors: Surgical malpractice involves mistakes made during surgical procedures, such as wrong-site surgery, improper use of surgical instruments, or anesthesia errors.[6]

Medication errors: These occur when healthcare providers prescribe, dispense, or administer medications incorrectly, leading to adverse drug reactions, overdoses, or medication-related harm.[7]

Failure to provide informed consent: Healthcare providers have a legal and ethical obligation to obtain informed consent from patients before performing medical procedures or treatments. Failure to adequately inform patients about the risks, benefits, and alternatives of a procedure constitutes malpractice.[8]

Negligence or failure to provide standard of care: This encompasses a broad range of actions or omissions by healthcare professionals that fall below the accepted standard of care, resulting in harm to patients.

Causes and contributing factors

Poor communication between healthcare providers, patients, and other members of the healthcare team can lead to misunderstandings,

errors in treatment, and lack of informed decision-making.[5] Healthcare professionals who are overworked, sleep-deprived, or experiencing burnout are more prone to making errors in judgment and decision-making, increasing the risk of malpractice.[9] Inadequate training, lack of experience, or insufficient supervision can contribute to errors in diagnosis, treatment, and patient management.[5,6] Issues within the healthcare system, such as understaffing, inadequate resources, and flawed processes, can create environments that increase the likelihood of malpractice.[9] Reliance on electronic health records, medical devices, and other technology can introduce new opportunities for errors if not properly implemented or utilized.[10]

Case studies illustrating the consequences of malpractice

These case studies underscore the far-reaching implications of medical malpractice, emphasizing the critical need for robust patient safety measures, professional accountability, and continual improvement within the healthcare sector.

Misdiagnosis: A 28-year-old female architect developed episodic generalized headaches over four years, worsening to weekly occurrences despite increased medication use. Initially effective against her symptoms, over-the-counter pain relief became less effective, prompting a visit to a family physician who prescribed hydrocodone. Following this, her headaches persisted, leading to a referral to a neurologist. After an unsuccessful "drug holiday," the neurologist diagnosed her with common migraines and medication overuse headaches, prescribing topiramate. Her condition failed to improve with increased doses of topiramate, leading to another referral. A second neurologist discovered a meningioma requiring surgical removal, which resulted in cognitive deficits that prevented her return to work. She sued the first neurologist, whose failure to initially order an MRI led to a malpractice suit settled by his insurer after recommendations from peer reviews.[11]

Surgical errors: A 65-year-old woman underwent a total thyroidectomy at a university hospital for a multinodular goiter. The procedure was executed with adherence to national guidelines, using chlorhexidine gluconate for skin disinfection. However, during the wound closure phase, a fire ignited by the combination of alcohol-based disinfectant and monopolar electrocautery resulted in second and third-degree burns to the patient's neck. Despite prompt extinguishment of the fire and stable postoperative recovery with burn management, the patient sustained permanent scar tissue along the sternocleidomastoid muscles. She later filed a civil lawsuit due to this surgical complication.[12]

Medication errors: A middle-aged man admitted to a hospital for a laminectomy was prescribed dexamethasone and ibuprofen post-surgery. He experienced severe gastrointestinal bleeding evidenced by tarry stools and a significant drop in blood pressure. Despite temporary improvements after a saline IV infusion administered by a nurse practitioner, the underlying issue-a duodenal ulcer leading

to arteriolar corrosion-was not adequately addressed. The nurse failed to confirm the treatment plan with the patient's resident, and the initial clinical signs of recovery masked the continuing severe internal bleeding. The patient eventually succumbed to hypovolemic shock the following morning after losing consciousness.[13]

Failure to obtain informed consent: A 79-year-old man experiencing aphasia and right-sided hemiparesis was treated with thrombolysis upon discovery in his condition at 9 am, having been fine at 11 pm the night before. Despite the emergency physician's recommendation and a neurologist's brief consultation with the patient's family, the administered tissue plasminogen activator led to a severe intracerebral hemorrhage. The patient died two weeks later due to complications. The family filed a lawsuit against the medical personnel and hospital, citing negligence and inadequate informed consent, which was eventually settled after extensive legal battles. The neurologist defended the treatment citing positive studies on "wake-up strokes," yet the outcome was tragic.[11]

Complications and adverse outcomes: A two-year-old boy developed foot drop and right lower extremity weakness after receiving an intramuscular injection of bupleurum and ribavirin in his right gluteal area at a village clinic. Medical evaluations revealed damage primarily to the peroneal nerve of the sciatic nerve complex, confirmed by EMG and lack of improvement in the initial blood tests. MRI ruled out lumbar root compression. After one and a half years, his motor functions and muscle strength fully recovered, with no residual atrophy or sensory loss. Legal action was taken against the nurse and clinic, establishing a direct link between the injection and the nerve damage.[14]

Barriers to identifying and reporting malpractice

Many cases of malpractice go unreported due to fear of retaliation, professional stigma, or lack of awareness about reporting mechanisms among healthcare professionals.[15] Some cases of malpractice involve complex medical and legal issues, making it difficult for healthcare providers, patients, and legal experts to recognize and report instances of malpractice.[16] In some healthcare settings, there may be a lack of transparency regarding adverse events and errors. Legal protections and immunity for healthcare providers in certain jurisdictions may discourage patients from pursuing legal action or reporting malpractice, particularly if they perceive it as futile or costly.[16] Moreover, financial considerations, such as the potential costs associated with litigation or settlements, may deter patients from pursuing malpractice claims, particularly if they lack access to legal resources or support.[16]

Legal and ethical complexities[16,17]

The standard of care requires a thorough understanding of medical practices, guidelines, and professional standards, which may vary depending on the specialty and circumstances of the case. Establishing a causal link between

the healthcare provider's actions or omissions and the patient's harm can be challenging, particularly in cases involving multiple factors or pre-existing conditions. Assessing whether patients were adequately informed about the risks, benefits, and alternatives of a procedure or treatment requires consideration of ethical principles and legal standards regarding informed consent. Malpractice claims are subject to statutes of limitations, which vary by jurisdiction and may impose strict deadlines for filing claims, limiting patients' ability to pursue legal action. Malpractice cases often rely on expert testimony from medical professionals to establish the standard of care, causation, and damages, adding complexity and expense to the litigation process.

Resistance within medical institutions and professional communities[18,19]

Traditional hierarchical structures and entrenched professional cultures within healthcare organizations may resist efforts to implement changes in practices, policies, or protocols, particularly if they perceive them as threatening their autonomy or status. Healthcare providers may be reluctant to acknowledge errors or report instances of malpractice due to fear of litigation, professional sanctions, or damage to their reputation. In some cases, there may be a lack of accountability for healthcare providers who engage in malpractice, either due to institutional failures to address misconduct or regulatory gaps in oversight and enforcement. Healthcare organizations may face resource constraints, such as budgetary limitations or staffing shortages, which can impede their ability to implement changes to improve patient safety and prevent malpractice.

Enhancing transparency and accountability

Healthcare systems should establish clear protocols and mechanisms for healthcare providers to report adverse events, near misses, and instances of malpractice. This includes developing standardized reporting forms, implementing confidential reporting systems, and ensuring that reports are thoroughly investigated and addressed.[10] Healthcare organizations should prioritize transparency and open communication with patients and their families following adverse events or instances of malpractice.[20] This involves providing patients with honest and timely information about what happened, acknowledging mistakes or errors, and offering apologies and support. Open disclosure also allows for early identification of issues and opportunities for remediation.[21]

Strengthening regulation and oversight of medical practices

Regulatory bodies should regularly review and update licensing requirements and professional standards for healthcare providers. Enforcement mechanisms should be strengthened to hold healthcare providers accountable for compliance with these standards, including disciplinary actions for those found to be in

violation.[22] Certain medical specialties or procedures may pose higher risks of malpractice due to their complexity or inherent risks. Regulatory agencies should prioritize oversight and monitoring of these high-risk areas.

Culture of continuous learning and improvement

Healthcare organizations should invest in continuous training and education programs for healthcare providers. This includes training on evidence-based practices, patient safety principles, communication skills, and risk management strategies.[23] Peer review processes should be implemented to facilitate ongoing assessment and feedback among healthcare professionals. This promotes accountability, collaboration, and a culture of learning within healthcare teams.

Systemic issues contributing to medical practitioners' malpractice

Nepotism involves favoritism toward relatives or close associates, often resulting in the hiring or promotion of individuals based on personal connections rather than merit.[24] Cronyism extends this favoritism to friends or associates, typically based on personal relationships rather than qualifications. Corruption encompasses a broader range of unethical behaviors, including bribery, kickbacks, fraud, and embezzlement, which abuse power for personal gain or violate ethical standards.[25] Nepotism, cronyism, and corruption in healthcare can have profound implications for patient care and public trust. When unqualified individuals are appointed to positions or receive opportunities within medical institutions based on personal connections rather than merit, it compromises the quality of care provided to patients.[24,25] Patients may receive substandard care, encounter barriers to treatment, or experience adverse outcomes as a result.[26] The preferential treatment resulting from nepotism, cronyism, or corruption can compromise the quality and safety of patient care. Corruption within the healthcare system, such as kickbacks, bribery, or embezzlement, diverts financial resources away from frontline healthcare services.[27]

Collaborative approaches

Collaboration among diverse stakeholders is essential for addressing the complex issue of medical practitioners' malpractice comprehensively. Government agencies can work with medical or nursing associations, professional societies, and patient advocacy groups to develop and implement policies, guidelines, and initiatives aimed at preventing malpractice and promoting patient safety. By bringing together experts from various disciplines, including medicine, nursing, pharmacology, law, ethics, psychology, and public health, healthcare systems can develop holistic strategies for improving patient safety, enhancing quality of care, and reducing the incidence of malpractice.

Empowering and best practices

Healthcare providers should engage in patient education initiatives to ensure that patients understand their diagnoses, treatment options, potential risks, and expected outcomes. This includes providing clear and accessible information in lay language, using multimedia tools and resources, and encouraging patients to ask questions and participate actively in their care. Access to reliable information, resources, and support services is critical for patients to make informed decisions about their healthcare system effectively.[28] Healthcare organizations and community-based organizations can facilitate access to resources such as decision aids, patient advocacy services, support groups, and legal assistance for patients who have experienced malpractice or are at risk of harm. Policymakers should advocate for the standardization of reporting and monitoring systems for adverse events and malpractice across healthcare organizations. Healthcare organizations should prioritize continuous quality improvement efforts to evaluate the effectiveness of strategies for preventing malpractice and improving patient safety. Healthcare organizations should remain vigilant and responsive to changes in technology, regulations, patient demographics, and healthcare delivery models, adjusting their approaches.

Conclusion

Medical practitioners' malpractice poses significant threats to patient safety and healthcare integrity, stemming from various factors including diagnostic and treatment errors, communication lapses, and systemic issues like nepotism and corruption. Tackling this issue demands proactive measures to boost transparency, accountability, and quality improvement within healthcare systems. Collaboration among stakeholders, including providers, policymakers, patients, and advocacy groups, is crucial for comprehensive systemic reform. Stakeholders must prioritize efforts to prevent malpractice, with healthcare organizations investing in quality initiatives, policymakers enacting stronger regulations, and providers embracing evidence-based practices and open communication.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Mello MM, Frakes MD, Blumenkranz E, Studdert DM. Malpractice liability and health care quality: a review. JAMA. 2020;323:352-66.
2. Wu AW, Shapiro J, Harrison R, et al. The impact of adverse events on clinicians: what's in a name? J Patient Saf. 2020;16:65-72.
3. Huang ECH, Pu C, Chou YJ, Huang N. Public trust in physicians-health care commodification as a possible deteriorating factor: cross-sectional analysis of 23 countries. Inquiry. 2018;55:46958018759174.
4. Tsai SF, Wu CL, Ho YY, et al. Medical malpractice in hospitals-how healthcare staff feel. Front Public Health. 2023;11:1080525.
5. Hanganu B, Iorga M, Muraru ID, Ioan BG. Reasons for and facilitating factors of medical malpractice

complaints. What can be done to prevent them? Medicina. 2020;56:259.
6. van den Goor MM, Wagner CC, Lombarts KM. Poor physician performance in the Netherlands: characteristics, causes, and prevalence. J Patient Saf. 2020;16:7-13.
7. Dahlawi S, Menezes RG, Khan MA, Waris A, Saifullah, Naseer MM. Medical negligence in healthcare organizations and its impact on patient safety and public health: a bibliometric study. F1000Res. 2021;10:174.
8. Glaser J, Nouri S, Fernandez A, Sudore RL, Schillinger D, Klein-Fedyshin M, Schenker Y. Interventions to improve patient comprehension in informed consent for medical and surgical procedures: an updated systematic review. Med Decis Making. 2020;40:119-43.
9. Haque G, Asif F, Ahmed FA, et al. Assessment of patient safety in a low-resource health care system: proposal for a multimethod study. JMIR Res Protoc. 2024;13:e50532.
10. Kataria S, Ravindran V. Electronic health records: a critical appraisal of strengths and limitations. J. R. Coll. Physicians Edinb. 2020;50:262-8.
11. Johnston JC, Wester K, Sartwelle TP. Neurological fallacies leading to malpractice: a case studies approach. Neurol Clin. 2016;34:747-73.
12. Spagnolo EV, Mondello C, Roccuzzo S, et al. Fire in operating room: the adverse "never" event. Case report, mini-review and medico-legal considerations. Leg Med. 2021;51:101879.
13. Huang HM, Sun FK, Lien YF. Nurse practitioners, medical negligence and crime: a case study. Clin Nurs Stud. 2015;3 :21-8.
14. Zhuo P, Gao D, Xia Q, Ran D, Xia W. Sciatic nerve injury in children after gluteal intramuscular injection: case reports on medical malpractice. Med Sci Law. 2019;59:139-42.
15. Perez B, Knych SA, Weaver SJ, et al. Understanding the barriers to physician error reporting and disclosure: a systemic approach to a systemic problem. J Patient Saf. 2014;10:45-51.
16. Bookman K, Zane RD. Surviving a medical malpractice lawsuit. Emerg Med Clin North Am. 2020;38:539-48.
17. Solomon RC. Ethical issues in medical malpractice. Emerg Med Clin North Am. 2006;24:733-47.
18. Denis JL, Usher S, Chambers N. Research Handbook on Leadership in Healthcare. Chapter 4: The role of leaders in implementing health reforms: Edward Elgar Publishing; 2023;p54-74.
19. World Health Organization. Patient safety incident reporting and learning systems: technical report and guidance. 2020.
20. Mello MM, Roche S, Greenberg Y, Folcarelli PH, Van Niel MB, Kachalia A. Ensuring successful implementation of communication-and-resolution programmes. BMJ Qual Saf. 2020;29:895-904.
21. DesRoches CM. Healthcare in the new age of transparency. Seminars in Dialysis; 2020, Wiley Online Library.
22. Salmon JW, Thompson SL. Medical malpractice crisis: oversight of the practice of medicine. In: The corporatization of American health care: the rise of corporate hegemony and the loss of professional autonomy. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2021;p139-80.
23. Mizrak F. Enhancing cybersecurity risk management through conceptual analysis of HRM integration. J Adm Sci. 2024;22:96-118.
24. Karakose T. The effects of nepotism, cronyism, and political favoritism on the doctors working in public hospitals. Ethno Med. 2014;8:245-50.
25. Chichi CCC. Corruption and the healthcare sector: overview. South Asian Res J Nurs Health Care. 2023;5:88-93.
26. Alperay F, Oktay S. The effect of nepotism and its applications leading to ethical collapse in organizational trust: a research on physicians and nurses at a university hospital. Turk J Business Ethics. 2020;13:135-68.
27. Naher N, Hoque R, Hassan MS, Balabanova D, Adams AM, Ahmed SM. The influence of corruption and governance in the delivery of frontline health care services in the public sector: a scoping review of current and future prospects in low and middle-income countries of south and southeast asia. BMC Public Health. 2020;20:880.
28. Davidson KW, Mangione CM, Barry MJ, et al. Collaboration and shared decision-making between patients and clinicians in preventive health care decisions and US Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations. JAMA. 2022;327:1171-6.