The Journal of Chulabhorn Royal Academy
eISSN : 3027-7418 (Online)

Academic article

Use of Antiseptic Solutions in Traumatic Wound Care

Ratthaya Kimura[1], Chosigawan Maneechot[1], Phongthara Vichitvejpaisal[2*]

[1]Department of Nursing, Siriraj Hospital
[2]Department of Anesthesiology, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital

*Corresponding Author, e-mail: phongthara@gmail.com

Received: 15 August 2024 ; Revised: 6 Octorber 2025. ; Accepted: 9 Octorber 2025

Abstract

Traumatic wounds, resulting from accidents or injuries, carry a high risk of infection due to the introduction of pathogens into the body. Therefore, effective wound care is essential to promote healing and prevent complications. A key component of wound management is the use of antiseptic solutions, which work through various mechanisms to curb microbial growth. This includes disrupting cell membranes, denaturing proteins, and interfering with metabolic processes. Moreover, these solutions have broad-spectrum activity against a wide range of microorganisms, including bacteria, fungi, and viruses.The application of antiseptic solutions requires thoughtful consideration. This involves choosing an appropriate antiseptic, determining the optimal concentration, and using the most effective application method. These factors are critical in ensuring optimal efficacy and maintaining patient safety. Additionally, selection of an appropriate antiseptic should also involve consideration of wound type, patient characteristics, and underlying medical conditions. Further research is essential to optimize antiseptic selection, establish appropriate dosing regimens, and enhance application techniques in wound care. By advancing wound care practices, researchers aim to enhance patient outcomes in the field of traumatic wound management.

Keywords: Traumatic wound care, Antiseptic solutions, Microorganisms, Wound healing

Introduction

Antiseptic solutions are vital in wound care, aiding in the prevention and treatment of infections. They work by reducing the presence of microorganisms in wounds, facilitating healing and minimizing likelihood of complications. Different antiseptic solutions have unique properties, effectiveness, and specific uses.

Choosing the right antiseptic solution involves considering factors such as wound type and severity, presence of infection, and preference of healthcare provider. The selection process should be guided by evidence-based guidelines and manufacturer instructions in addition to the patient's overall health.

Effective wound care also requires proper cleansing techniques, such as gentle irrigation to remove debris and promote healing. Striking the right balance between effective antimicrobial action and safeguarding healthy tissues is crucial. Regular assessment and wound monitoring is vital to ensure an antiseptic solution is appropriate and leads to effective outcomes in wound care management.

© Chulabhorn Royal Academy. Terms and conditions of Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY NC ND 4.0) apply

What are antiseptic solutions?

Antiseptic solutions are agents used to disinfect and cleanse wounds, surfaces, and skin to prevent or lower the risk of infection. They are an integral part of wound care, surgical procedures, and general hygiene practices. These solutions typically contain antimicrobial agents that can kill or inhibit the growth of microorganisms, including bacteria, fungi, and viruses. They are available in various forms, such as liquids, gels, sprays, and wipes[1,2].

Commonly used antiseptic solutions include chlorhexidine gluconate, povidone-iodine, hydrogen peroxide, alcohol-based solutions, silver-based antiseptics and benzalkonium chloride.

Chlorhexidine gluconate:

Chlorhexidine gluconate or Chlorhexidine is a broad-spectrum antiseptic solution that effectively targets bacteria, fungi, and select viruses. It offers prolonged residual action, reducing the risk of wound infections. Chlorhexidine is available in the following forms: solution, gel, and foam, with varying concentrations chosen by healthcare providers based on wound type, severity, and patient's skin condition. It is primarily used for preoperative skin preparation, wound cleansing, and as an irrigation solution.

Mechanism of action: Chlorhexidine functions by disrupting the cell membranes of microorganisms which causes leakage of intracellular components, leading to cell death[3].

Efficacy: Chlorhexidine's long-lasting residual effect ensures sustained antimicrobial activity post-application. This property makes it particularly suitable for preoperative skin preparation and wound cleansing.[4] Both 0.5% and 1.0% alcoholic chlorhexidine gluconate solutions have been shown to be more effective than 10% povidone-iodine in reducing microbial colony formation associated with intravascular catheters. Additionally, 2% chlorhexidine gluconate gel demonstrated superior fungicidal activity compared to nano-silver gel.[12]

Indications: Chlorhexidine is frequently used for skin preparation before surgery and insertion of invasive medical devices like catheters or central lines. It effectively reduces the risk of surgical site infections and other healthcare-associated infections[5,6].

Precautions: Although generally safe and well-tolerated, chlorhexidine may cause skin irritation or allergic reactions in some individuals. It should be used with caution in premature infants or neonates[5].

Povidone-iodine:

Povidone-iodine, also referred to as Betadine, is a widely-used antiseptic solution renowned for its broad-spectrum antimicrobial action against bacteria, viruses, and fungi. It is available in multiple forms, including solutions, scrubs, ointments, and swabs[1].

Mechanism of action: Povidone-iodine functions by releasing free iodine, which permeates the cell walls of microorganisms and disrupts their metabolic processes, leading to cell death[1,7].

Efficacy: Povidone-iodine demonstrates a rapid onset of action with immediate antimicrobial effects. It is particularly effective against a broad spectrum of microorganisms, including antibiotic-resistant strains. Povidone-iodine achieves a 33.3% greater bacterial reduction than saline and gentle soap[1,8].

Indications: Povidone-iodine is commonly used in wound cleansing, preoperative skin preparation, and as an antiseptic for minor cuts, abrasions, or burns. It is also used to manage specific infections[2,8].

Precautions: It is important to exercise caution when using povidone-iodine in individuals with iodine sensitivity or thyroid disorders. Some individuals may also experience skin irritation or allergic reactions[1,2].

Hydrogen peroxide:

Hydrogen peroxide, a gentle antiseptic solution, is effective against bacteria and some viruses. It works by releasing oxygen upon application, causing a bubbling effect. This aids in mechanical debridement, loosening debris and necrotic tissue. It is often used for wound cleansing, especially in wounds with

excessive exudate or debris. However, it's important to use it cautiously as prolonged or repetitive use may impede wound healing by damaging healthy tissues[1].

Mechanism of action: When hydrogen peroxide interacts with tissues, it generates oxygen, leading to a bubbling effect. This bubbling action helps remove debris and necrotic tissue, which promotes effective wound cleansing[9,10].

Efficacy: Hydrogen peroxide is effective against bacteria and some viruses. However, its efficacy is reduced in the presence of blood, serum, or organic matter[10].

Indications: Hydrogen peroxide is primarily used for cleansing wounds, particularly those with excessive exudate or debris. It can also be used for cleaning minor cuts, scrapes, or small wounds[9].

Precautions: Prolonged or frequent use of hydrogen peroxide can potentially delay wound healing due to potential damage to healthy tissues. It should be used carefully under the guidance of healthcare professionals' instructions[11].

Alcohol-based solutions:

Alcohol, specifically isopropyl (rubbing alcohol) or ethyl alcohol, is a prevalent antiseptic solution used in wound cleansing. It has broad-spectrum antimicrobial properties effective against bacteria and certain viruses. Alcohol is commonly used as a skin disinfectant prior to procedures or when applying wound dressings. However, it's important to use it cautiously as it can cause skin dryness, irritation, and potentially delay wound healing, especially in high concentrations or on sensitive tissues[1].

Mechanism of action: Alcohol denatures proteins and disrupts lipid membranes of microorganisms, leading to their inactivation and cell death[1,3,12].

Efficacy: Alcohol has broad-spectrum antimicrobial properties, making it effective against various bacteria, including both gram-positive and gram-negative strains. It also demonstrates some virucidal and fungicidal activity[3,12].

Indications: Alcohol is frequently used as a skin disinfectant prior to invasive procedures, injections, or dressing application. It is also used for sanitizing medical equipment and surfaces[1].

Precautions: Caution is advised when using alcohol on open wounds as it can irritate tissues and potentially delay wound healing [13]. Its quick evaporation limits its prolonged antimicrobial effect. Additionally, alcohol may cause skin dryness or allergic reactions in some individuals.

Silver-based antiseptics:

Silver-based antiseptics, such as silver sulfadiazine or silver nitrate, are used in wound care for their broad antimicrobial properties. They release silver ions that effectively inhibit bacterial growth and facilitate wound healing. These antiseptics are commonly used for treating infected wounds, burns, and chronic wounds, and are available as creams, ointments, or dressings[1].

Mechanism of action: Silver ions disrupt microbial cell metabolism and interfere with DNA replication, protein synthesis, and enzyme functions. This leads to hindered microbial growth and enhanced wound healing[1,12].

Efficacy: Silver-based antiseptics are effective against bacteria, fungi, and some viruses. They are especially valuable in managing multidrug-resistant microorganisms and in preventing and treating wound infections[1,14].

Indications: Silver-based antiseptics are commonly used for infected wounds, burns, chronic wounds, and wounds at risk of infection. They can be administered as creams, ointments, gels, or incorporated into dressings[1,15].

Precautions: While generally safe, prolonged or excessive use of silver-based antiseptics can lead to silver accumulation in the body, which may cause toxicity[2]. It is important to follow healthcare professionals'

instructions and guidelines for their use.

Benzalkonium chloride:

Benzalkonium chloride (BZK) is a quaternary ammonium compound widely used for its antiseptic and disinfectant properties. It combines antimicrobial and detergent capabilities, making it versatile for various applications[3].

Mechanism of action: BZK works by disrupting the cell membranes of microorganisms, including bacteria, fungi, and viruses.3 It binds to the lipid layer of the cell membranes, causing cellular content leakage of cellular contents and ultimately leading to cell death. Additionally, BZK also denatures proteins in microorganisms, enhancing its antimicrobial activity.

Efficacy: BZK exhibits broad-spectrum antimicrobial activity against a range of pathogens, including gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria, some viruses, and fungi. However, the effectiveness of BZK can vary depending on the type of microorganism and concentration of BZK used[16].

Indications: BZK is a common ingredient in numerous healthcare and consumer products, due to its antiseptic and disinfectant properties. It is found in antiseptic wipes, hand sanitizers, topical antiseptic solutions, and as a preservative in ophthalmic solutions, nasal sprays, and cosmetics[15,16].

Precautions: While considered safe for use, precautions should be taken to minimize the risk of skin irritation or allergic reactions, prevent accidental ingestion, and to be aware of any residue or compatibility issues[16].

Antiseptic solutions of choice

The selection of antiseptic solutions for wound care should be a meticulous process, taking into factors such as wound type and severity, presence of infection, patient allergies, and preferences of healthcare providers.[17] Adherence to evidence-based guidelines manufacturer instructions, and considering the patient's overall condition when selecting an appropriate antiseptic solution for wound care is important. Regular assessment and monitoring of the wound is also essential to ensure the effectiveness and tolerance of the chosen antiseptic solution.

Choosing the right antiseptic solution for wound care involves a comprehensive evaluation of various factors, including the wound type, severity, presence of infection, patient allergies, and overall health status.

Wound type

Acute Wounds: For clean, non-infected acute wounds, mild antiseptic solutions such as saline or sterile water are sufficient for wound cleansing. These solutions help remove debris and promote a clean wound environment[18].

Chronic Wounds: Chronic wounds, like pressure ulcers or diabetic foot ulcers, might need more potent antiseptic solutions due to a higher risk of biofilm formation and ongoing infection. Silver-based antiseptics or antimicrobial dressings are often recommended for these types of wounds[19].

Burn Wounds: Burn wounds are prone to infection and require careful management. Antiseptic solutions like silver sulfadiazine or silver nitrate are commonly used in burn care due to their antimicrobial properties and ability to manage infection[17].

Presence of infection

If there is an established infection in the wound, selecting an antiseptic with broad-spectrum antimicrobial activity is crucial[20].

Bacterial Infection: Antiseptic solutions like chlorhexidine or povidone-iodine are effective against a wide range of bacteria and can help reduce bacterial load in infected wounds[18].

Fungal Infection: For wounds with fungal infections, antiseptic solutions containing antifungal properties,

such as miconazole or clotrimazole, may be more appropriate.

Viral Infection: Some antiseptics, such as povidone-iodine, have virucidal properties and are helpful in managing wounds with viral infections[18].

Allergies and sensitivities

It is important to consider a patient's allergy history or sensitivities to specific antiseptic solutions. In cases of known sensitivities, alternative, more tolerable antiseptics should be selected. A thorough patient assessment is necessary to identify any potential allergies or adverse reactions[3].

Overall health status

When selecting an antiseptic solution, a patient's overall health status, comorbidities, and specific wound-related factors should be considered. Consider the following:

Immuno-compromised patients: For patients with weakened immune systems, choosing an antiseptic solution that offers broad-spectrum activity with minimal toxicity is important. Consulting with a healthcare professional or wound specialist may be necessary.

Healing stage: The stage of the wound's healing process also plays an important role in antiseptic selection. In the early stages of wound healing, solutions that promote a moist wound environment, such as hydrogels or saline, are often preferred. As the wound progresses into the granulation phase, antiseptics that support autolytic debridement or have antimicrobial properties, such as silver-based antiseptics, may be appropriate[21].

Healthcare guidelines and recommendations

It is important to follow evidence-based guidelines and recommendations provided by healthcare organizations or wound care associations.[22] These guidelines often outline preferred antiseptic solutions for specific wound types and provide information on their appropriate usage[3].

Healthcare provider preference and experience

The familiarity and experience of healthcare provider with specific antiseptic solutions should be considered. Providers may have preferences based on their knowledge, clinical experience, and available evidence.

When selecting an antiseptic solution, it is important to balance antimicrobial effectiveness with its potential for toxicity or harm to healthy tissues. The frequency and duration of using the antiseptic solution should align with the wound's characteristics and the recommended guidelines. Regular wound assessment and monitoring are essential to ensure the effectiveness of the chosen antiseptic solution and its tolerance by the patient[3].

Safety and tissue compatibility

Different antiseptic solutions have varying degrees of tissue compatibility and potential toxicity. When selecting an antiseptic, the risk-benefit ratio must be considered. Solutions such as chlorhexidine and povidone-iodine are generally safe and well-tolerated2, but they may cause skin irritation or allergic reactions in some individuals. Hydrogen peroxide and alcohol, while effective, should be used with caution as they may delay wound healing and cause tissue damage if used excessively or inappropriately[12].

Application method

The ease of application and its compatibility with wound dressing or management strategies are crucial considerations. Many antiseptic solutions are available in formats like spray bottles, swabs, or impregnated dressings, facilitate easy and precise application. It's important to ensure that the chosen solution is compatible

with the intended wound dressing or management approach[23].

Cost and availability

The cost-effectiveness and availability of antiseptic solutions should be evaluated. Advanced wound care products, including silver-based antiseptics, may be more expensive compared to traditional antiseptic solutions. Assessing the solution's availability within the healthcare setting and its feasibility for long-term use, especially for chronic wounds is essential[1,15].

Patient preferences and compliance

Involving the patient in the decision-making process and considering their preferences and lifestyle is vital. Factors like the solution's odor, potential staining, or the need for frequent dressing changes can affect patient compliance. Providing patient education and clear instructions on how to apply the solution can enhance adherence and improve treatment outcomes[24].

Collaboration and multidisciplinary approach

For complex cases or challenging wounds, it's beneficial to involve a multidisciplinary team comprising wound care specialists, infection control experts, and pharmacists.[25] Collaborating with these professionals helps determine the most suitable antiseptic solution based on the patient's specific needs and wound characteristics.

It is essential to document the reasons for choosing an antiseptic solution in the patient's medical record. Regular reassessment and monitoring of the wound's progress, signs of infection, and the patient's response to the antiseptic solution are crucial. Adjustments to the choice or frequency of application may also be required based on the wound's response and overall clinical progress.

Remember to consider individual patient variability exists, and that what works for one patient may not be suitable for another. Therefore, clinical judgment and ongoing evaluation are critical when selecting an appropriate antiseptic solution for wound care.

Advances in traumatic wound care

Currently, the Bioplasma Set Technique represents a significant advancement in the field of wound treatment caused by trauma, such as cuts, lacerations, or injuries resulting from accidents, violence, or other external forces. This technique involves the application of autologous platelet-rich plasma (PRP) to promote wound healing through the release of growth factors. Extensive evidence demonstrates the promising outcomes of PRP in various types of wounds.[26, 27] Although this technique may have a higher initial cost, its benefits, such as accelerated wound healing and reduced complications, makes it cost-effective in the long term[28]. When considering its use, healthcare professionals should carefully evaluate patient needs and available evidence.

The utilization of autologous PRP within the Bioplasma Set Technique represents an innovative and targeted approach to wound healing by harnessesing the innate regenerative capacities of the human body[26]. Despite the higher initial costs associated with this technique, its ability to expedite wound healing and minimize complications contributes to its long-term cost-effectiveness.

Mechanism of action: The Bioplasma Set Technique relies on the use of autologous platelet-rich plasma (PRP) to facilitate wound healing. PRP is obtained through the extraction of the patient's own blood and subsequent isolation of the plasma, which has an abundance of growth factors and other bioactive substances. Applying PRP directly onto the wound provides a concentrated growth factor source, initiating-tissue repair and regeneration[29].

Clinical standpoint: The Bioplasma Set Technique stands is as an innovative intervention that capitalizes on the body's intrinsic healing mechanisms through PRP. The growth factors in PRP drive key healing

processes such as angiogenesis, collagen synthesis, and cell migration, thereby accelerating wound healing. Clinical studies have shown positive results with PRP implementation in various wounds, including chronic ulcers, burns, and surgical wounds[26]. Notably, this technique is linked to faster wound healing rates, reduced infection rates, and improved tissue regeneration[30].

Cost effectiveness: Although the Bioplasma Set Technique may involve higher initial costs due to the need for specialized equipment and process of extracting PRP from the patient's blood, the potential benefit of accelerated wound healing and reduced complications offset the initial expenses by mitigating the need for additional treatments, hospitalizations, or interventions due to delayed wound healing[27].

Conclusion

Traumatic wounds, from accidents, falls, or physical trauma, can vary in severity. In traumatic wound care, antiseptic solutions play a crucial role in minimizing infection risks, facilitating wound healing, and fostering overall patient well-being. When properly administered, these solutions have the ability to eradicate or impede growth of bacteria, fungi, and viruses, and thus promote wound healing and minimize potential for complications. Choosing an appropriate antiseptic solution requires careful consideration of multiple factors, such as wound type and severity, patient's medical history, a solution's cytotoxicity, and any known allergies or sensitivities. Each solution presents distinct advantages and limitations, and their choice should be guided by evidence-based guidelines and the expertise of healthcare professionals.

References

1. Yousefian F, Hesari R, Jensen T, et al. Antimicrobial Wound Dressings: A Concise Review for Clinicians. *Antibiotics (Basel)*. 2023;12(9) doi:10.3390/antibiotics 12091434
2. Babalska Z, Korbecka-Paczkowska M, Karpin ski TM. Wound Antiseptics and European Guidelines for Antiseptic Application in Wound Treatment. *Pharmaceuticals (Basel)*. 2021;14(12) doi:10.3390/ph14121253
3. Bednarek RS, Nassereddin A, Ramsey ML. Skin Antiseptics. *StatPearls*. StatPearls Publishing LLC.; 2023.
4. Sahiner A, Halat E, Yapar EA, Kara BA. Evaluation of organic load-related efficacy changes in antiseptic solutions used in hospitals. *Turk J Med Sci*. 2022;52(3):825-833. doi:10.55730/1300-0144.5379
5. Zebley JA, Klein A, Wanersdorfer K, et al. 0.05% Chlorhexidine Gluconate Irrigation in Trauma/Emergency General Surgical Laparotomy Wounds Closure: A Pilot Study. *J Surg Res*. 2024;293:427-432. doi:10.1016/j.jss.2023.09.016
6. Luwang AL, Saha PK, Rohilla M, Sikka P, Saha L, Gautam V. Chlorhexidine-alcohol versus povidone-iodine as preoperative skin antisepsis for prevention of surgical site infection in cesarean delivery-a pilot randomized control trial. *Trials*. 2021;22(1): 540. doi:10.1186/s13063-021-05490-4
7. Lepelletier D, Maillard JY, Pozzetto B, Simon A. Povidone Iodine: Properties, Mechanisms of Action, and Role in Infection Control and Staphylococcus aureus Decolonization. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2020;64(9) doi:10.1128/ aac.00682-20
8. Oropallo A, Rao AS, Del Pin C, Ranire-Maguire M, Mathew A. An objective comparative study of non-surgical cleansing techniques and cleanser types in bacterial burden management. *Int Wound J*. 2024;21(2):e14730. doi:10.1111/iwj.14730
9. Rai S, Gupta TP, Shaki O, Kale A. Hydrogen Peroxide: Its Use in an Extensive Acute Wound to Promote Wound Granulation and Infection Control - Is it Better Than Normal Saline. *Int J Low Extrem Wounds*. 2023;22(3):563-577. doi:10.1177/15347346211032555

10. Zhu G, Wang Q, Lu S, Niu Y. Hydrogen Peroxide: A Potential Wound Therapeutic Target? *Med Princ Pract*. 2017;26(4):301-308. doi:10.1159/000475501
11. Urban MV, Rath T, Radtke C. Hydrogen-peroxide (H(2)O(2)): a review of its use in surgery. *Wien Med Wochenschr*. 2019;169 (9-10):222-225. doi:10.1007/s10354-017-0610-2
12. Hoang TPN, Ghori MU, Conway BR. Topical Antiseptic Formulations for Skin and Soft Tissue Infections. *Pharmaceutics*. 2021;13(4) doi:10.3390/pharmaceutics 13040558
13. Radek KA, Ranzer MJ, DiPietro LA. Brewing complications: the effect of acute ethanol exposure on wound healing. *J Leukoc Biol*. 2009;86(5):1125-34. doi:10.1189/jlb.0209103
14. Alves PJ, Barreto RT, Barrois BM, Gryson LG, Meaume S, Monstrey SJ. Update on the role of antiseptics in the management of chronic wounds with critical colonisation and/or biofilm. *Int Wound J*. 2021;18(3):342-358. doi:10.1111/iwj.13537
15. Beraldo S, Ljungqvist J, Rodger R, Hanson B, Saavedra C. Effectiveness of an enhanced silver-containing dressing in hard-to-heal venous leg ulcers: a randomised controlled trial. *J Wound Care*. 2025;34(3):170-178. doi:10.12968/jowc.2025.0023
16. Merchel Piovesan Pereira B, Tagkopoulos I. Benzalkonium Chlorides: Uses, Regulatory Status, and Microbial Resistance. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2019;85(13) doi:10.1128/aem.00377-19
17. Kramer A, Dissemond J, Kim S, et al. Consensus on Wound Antisepsis: Update 2018. *Skin Pharmacol Physiol*. 2018;31(1):28-58. doi:10.1159/000481545
18. Soeselo DA, Yolanda R, Zita M, Theresia S, Astiarani Y, Santi BT. Antiseptic versus non-antiseptic solutions for preventing infection in acute traumatic wounds: a systematic review. *J Wound Care*. 2022;31(2):162-169. doi:10.12968/jowc.2022.31.2.162
19. Goswami AG, Basu S, Banerjee T, Shukla VK. Biofilm and wound healing: from bench to bedside. *Eur J Med Res*. 2023;28(1):157. doi:10.1186/s40001-023-01121-7
20. Barreto R, Barrois B, Lambert J, Malhotra-Kumar S, Santos-Fernandes V, Monstrey S. Addressing the challenges in antisepsis: focus on povidone iodine. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2020;56(3):106064. doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.106064
21. Carpa R, Remizovschi A, Culda CA, Butiuc-Keul AL. Inherent and Composite Hydrogels as Promising Materials to Limit Antimicrobial Resistance. *Gels*. 2022;8(2) doi:10.3390/gels8020070
22. Ricciardi W, Cascini F. Guidelines and safety practices for improving patient safety. In: Donaldson LJ, Ricciardi W, Sheridan S, Tartaglia R, eds. T*extbook of patient safety and clincal risk management*. Springer; 2021:chap 1.
23. Oliverius M, Drozd J, Bratka P, Whitley A, Mohlenikova Duchonova B, Gürlich R. A new silver dressing, StopBac, used in the prevention of surgical site infections. *Int Wound J*. 2022;19(1):29-35. doi:10.1111/iwj.13593
24. Losi S, Berra CCF, Fornengo R, Pitocco D, Biricolti G, Federici MO. The role of patient preferences in adherence to treatment in chronic disease: a narrative review. *Drug Target Insights*. 2021;15:13-20. doi:10.33393/dti.2021.2342
25. Saint-Pierre C, Herskovic V, Sepúlveda M. Multidisciplinary collaboration in primary care: a systematic review. *Fam Pract*. 2018;35(2):132-141. doi:10.1093/fampra/cmx085
26. Du X, Zhao J, Ren Q, et al. Clinical application of platelet rich plasma to promote healing of open hand injury with skin defect. *Regenerative Therapy*. 2024;26:308-314.
27. Verma R, Kumar S, Garg P, Verma YK. Platelet-rich plasma: a comparative and economical therapy for wound healing and tissue regeneration. *Cell and Tissue Banking*. 2023;24(2):285-306. doi:10.1007/s10561-022-10039-z
28. Braný D, Dvorská D, Halašová E, Škovierová H. Cold Atmospheric Plasma: A Powerful Tool for Modern Medicine. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2020;21(8) doi:10.3390/ijms21082932

29. Beitia M, Delgado D, Mercader J, Sánchez P, López de Dicastillo L, Sánchez M. Action of Platelet-Rich Plasma on In Vitro Cellular Bioactivity: More than Platelets. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2023;24(6) doi:10.3390/ijms24065367
30. Zhang W, Guo Y, Kuss M, et al. Platelet-Rich Plasma for the Treatment of Tissue Infection: Preparation and Clinical Evaluation. *Tissue Eng Part B Rev*. 2019;25(3):225-236. *doi:10.1089/ten.TEB.2018.0309*

Citation

Kimura K., Maneechot C.andVichitvejpaisal P. Use of Antiseptic Solutions in Traumatic Wound Care. *J Chulabhorn Royal Acad*.2568 7(4): 422-430 https://he02.tci-thaijo.org/index.php/jcra/workflow/index/270638

OnlineAccess

https://he02.tci-thaijo.org/index.php/jcra/workflow/index/270638.

