

ິພນີ້ຕ້ອນບັນ

Original Article

ERCP in Nakhonpathom Hospital

Thiti Sawangtham M.D. F.R.C.S. (T)

Department of Surgery, Nakhonpathom Hospital

ABSTRACT

ERCP (Endoscopic Retrograde Cholangio-Pancreatography) is widely accepted in the era that minimal invasive surgery are very popular and laparoscopic surgery are widely used. During two consecutive years, ERCP was performed in 204 patients by one endoscopist. (76 men, 128 women, mean age 55.3, range 14-94). Success rate was 92.16%, 78 diagnostic and 126 therapeutic procedures. The most common diagnosis were common bile duct stone and gallstone. Complications were found in 6 patients (2.94%) 3 of perforations, 2 of bleedings and 1 apnea from deep sedation but no mortality.

In conclusion, ERCP is helpful in the diagnosis and therapeutic to reduce open surgery. In Nakhonpathom hospital, success rates, complications and mortality were comparable to literatures.

Introduction

ERCP is first introduced in 1968 but not widely accepted until the last decade that minimal invasive surgery are very popular and laparoscopic surgery are widely used. The main advantage of ERCP is reduction need of open surgery to remove common bile duct stones but at the present we use ERCP in many other indication eg. for treatment of Common bile duct stricture, pancreatitis and cholangiocarcinoma

Patients and method

During two consecutive years, ERCP was performed in 204 patients by one endoscopist (76

men, 128 women, mean age 55.3, years old range 14-94). Indications as shown in Table 1.

All patients received preoperative antibiotics, local anesthesia and conscious sedation then performed procedure.

Result

Long route endoscopy was performed in 34 patients and short route was performed in 170 patients and there were 78 for diagnosis, 126 for therapeutics. The detail for therapeutic procedure as shown in Table 2. Precut before insertion of canula was done in 39 patients (19.1%). The diagnosis of the patients as shown in Table 3.

Table 1 Indications for ERCP

Indication	n
- Jaundice	88
- CBD stone	52
- pre-op L.C.	27
- Abdominal pain after biliary tract surgery	26
- Pancreatitis	5
- Injury to biliary- pancreatic system	4
- Suspected bile leakage post-op	3
- Cholangitis	2

Table 2 Details of therapeutic procedures (some patients had more than one procedures)

Procedure	n
Endoscopic sphincterotomy	77
Retain stent	61
Stone removal	48
Irrigation	12
Dilate strictures	12
Remove impact ampulla stone	1

Procedures were performed successfully in 188 patients (92.16%). Type of ampulla that were found in this study as shown in Table 4.

Complications were found in 6 patients (2.94%) 3 of perforations, 2 of bleedings and 1

Table 3 Diagnosis of patients (some patient had more than one diagnosis)

Diagnosis	n
CBD stone	65
Gallstone	34
Stricture CBD	30
Sump syndrome	13
Cholangiocarcinoma	10
CA pancreas	10
Intrahepatic duct stone	9
CA ampulla	6
Injury to CBD or pancreas	6
Cholangitis	6
Medical jaundice	6
Pancreatitis	5
Cirrhosis	5
External compression	2
Bile leakage	2
Impact ampulla stone	1
Mirizzi's syndrome	1
Choledochal duct cyst	1

Table 4 Type of ampulla

Type of ampulla	n	%
I	5	2.45
II	51	25
III	129	63.24
IV a	10	4.9
IV b	4	1.96
V	5	2.45

apnea from deep sedation but no mortality. Two of complications were treated by open surgery (one is perforation and the other is bleeding). The remains of complication were successfully treated by endoscopic and conservative treatment

Discussion

Jaundiced patients can be accurately separated into broad diagnostic categories of nonobstructive and obstructive biliary tract disease on clinical ground alone in about 90% of cases.¹ U/S (ultrasonography) can have an accuracy as high as 90% in differentiating between biliary obstruction and hepatocellular causes of jaundice, but it has limitation² and proven CBD stones are missed by U/S between 24-50%.^{3,4} Even in CT scan it has the same accuracy as U/S.⁵ So these are reasons why ERCP is helpful.

In the earlier studies, the overall success rate for ERCP in visualizing biliary tree was only 70%,⁶ but more recent studies have demonstrated success rates of up to 90%⁷ and in this study success rate was 92.16%, the same as recent studies

ERCP has many indications, in this study we found that 3 most common indications were jaundice, CBD stone and preoperative before LC. The literatures have shown that in the era that LC had become the procedure of choice for removal of the gallbladder in most patients⁸ and the hospital mortality rate was lower in endoscopically treated group than in surgical treated group of cholangitis,⁹ these two reasons given rise to new indications for ERCP¹⁰

In a study of 1,390 consecutive LC patients,

Reiger and Wayand¹¹ found that the combination of elevated liver function enzymes plus radiologic findings suggesting bile duct obstruction had accuracy of 69% so there are numerous studies have been published concerning the role of ERCP in patients about to undergo LC because of symptomatic gallbladder disease.¹¹⁻²² The majority of the reports described selective use of ERCP before LC when there is evidence to suggest the presence of stones in the bile duct.¹¹⁻²¹ In this study I had the same opinion that selective use of preoperative ERCP is more reasonable and can identify relatively few cases of clinically silent stones.¹⁸

For the other indication in pancreatic disease such as acute gallstone pancreatitis, Safrany and Cotton²³ reported experience with urgent endoscopic sphincterotomy for acute gallstone pancreatitis in 1981. In my study there are only 5 pancreatitis (2.45%) and no one had gallstone pancreatitis, this may be that a majority of pancreatitis in Nakhonpathom caused by alcohol.

In pancreatic trauma, ERCP can help in diagnosis by showing disruption of the main pancreatic duct (sensitivity and specificity 100%)²⁴ and when demonstrated disruption, is usually an indication for surgical drainage.²⁵

In pancreatic mass, U/S, CT and MRI still have failure rates as high as 10% to detect early stage of carcinoma²⁶⁻²⁹ but ERCP offers the best chance to detect subtle changes within pancreatic ducts suggestive of carcinoma and may collect specimen for cytology from pancreatic duct. In this study found only 1 silent carcinoma that can't be identified by U/S or CT

Since the introduction of endoscopic sphincterotomy in 1974, the overall indications for ERCP had shifted from purely diagnostic to therapeutic.³⁰⁻³¹ My study 38.24% were diagnostic ERCP, 61.76% were therapeutic ERCP and endoscopic sphincterotomy for 77 from 204 patients.

In my study most common complications are perforation (1.47%) and bleedings (0.98%) but Ghazi and Mcshery found that most common complications are pancreatitis and cholangitis.³² Other com-

plication is from oversedation during prolonged procedures.³³⁻³⁴ This study found one oversedation and apnea but no mortality

The table 5 show success rates, complications and mortality in comparative.

Conclusion

ERCP is helpful in diagnosis and therapeutic to reduce open surgery. In Nakhonpathom hospital, success rates, complications and mortality are

Table 5 Success rate, complication, death rate in comparative

Study	Procedures (n)	Success	Complications	Death
		(%)	(%)	(%)
Safrany, 1977 ³⁵	265	92.0	10.0	1.2
Koch et al, 1977 ³⁶	267	95.0	7.1	0.8
Viceconte et al, 1981 ³⁷	296	86.1	7.0	0.8
Siegel, 1981 ³⁸	267	96.6	5.0	0.77
Wurbs, 1982 ³⁹	808	94-99	7.3	1.4
Escourrou et al, 1984 ⁴⁰	443	92.0	7.0	1.5
Leese et al, 1985 ⁴¹	394	98.0	10.4	0.8
Neoptolemos et al, 1987 ⁴²	55	96.4	9.1	0
Ikeda et al, 1988 ⁴³	469	99	6.3	0.4
Vaira et al, 1989 ⁴⁴	1000	97.5	6.9	0.4
Podolsky et al, 1989 ⁴⁵	137	100	6.6	0
Lambert et al, 1991 ⁴⁶	602	91.5	10.5	2.2
Hill et al, 1991 ⁴⁷	218	96.8	7.6	0.9
Moreira et al, 1991 ⁴⁸	18	94.4	13.3	6.6
Sherman et al, 1991 ⁴⁹	423	-	6.9	1.7
Freeman et al, 1996 ⁵⁰	2347	-	9.8	0.43
Coppola et al, 1997 ⁵¹	546	98	5.4	0.3
Sawaengtham, 2002	204	92.16	2.9	0

comparable to literatures.

References

1. Matzen P, Malchow-Moller A, Brun B, et al. Ultra-sonography, computed tomography, and choles-cintigraphy in suspected obstructive jaundice : A prospective comparative study. *Gastroenterology* 1983 ; 84 : 1492-7.
2. Frederic N, Deltenre M, d' Hondt M, et al. Comparative study of ultrasound and ERCP in diagnosis of hepatic, biliary and pancreatic diseases : A prospective study base on a continuous series of 424 patients. *Eur J radiol* 1983 ; 3 : 208-11.
3. Cronan JJ. Ultrasound diagnosis of choledocholithiasis : A reappraisal. *Radiology* 1986 ; 161 : 133-4.
4. Laing FC, Jeffery RB, Wing VW. Improved visualization of choledocholithiasis by sonography. *AJR* 1984 ; 143 : 949-52.
5. Goldberg HI, Filly RA, Korobkin M. Capability of CT body scanning and ultrasonography to demonstrate the status of the biliary ductal system in patients with jaundice. *Radiology* 1978 ; 129 : 731-7.
6. Bilbao MK, Dotter CT, Lee TG, Katon RM. Complication of endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP). A study of 10,000 cases. *Gastroenterology* 1976 ; 70 : 314-20.
7. Pasanen PA, Partanen KP, Pikkarainen PH, et al. A comparison of ultrasound, computed tomography and endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography in differential diagnosis of benign and malignant jaundice and cholestasis. *Eur J Surg* 1993 ; 159 : 148-51.
8. NIH consensus conference on gallstones and Laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Am J Surg* 1993 ; 165 : 387-547.
9. Lai ECS, Mok FPT, Tan ESY, et al. Endoscopic biliary drainage for severe acute cholangitis. *N Eng Med* 1992 ; 326 : 1582-6.
10. Legrreta AP, Brooks RJ, Staroscik RN, et al. Increased ERCP rate following the introduction of laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *J Laparoendosc Surg* 1995 ; 5 : 271-8.
11. Reiger R, Wayand W. Yield of prospective, non-invasive evaluation of the common bile duct combined with selective ERCP/sphincterotomy in 1390 consecutive laparoscopic cholecystectomy patients. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1995 ; 42 : 6-12.
12. Rijna H, Borgstein PJ, Meuwissen SG, et al. Selective preoperative endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography in laparoscopic biliary surgery. *Br J Surg* 1995 ; 82 : 1130-3.
13. Welbourn CR, Mehta D, Armstrong CP, et al. Selective preoperative endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography with sphincterotomy avoids bile duct exploration during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Gut* 1995 ; 37 : 576-9.
14. Chang KK, Mo LR, Yau MP, et al. Endoscopic sphincterotomy prior to laparoscopic cholecystectomy for the treatment of cholelithiasis. *Hepatogastroenterology* 1996 ; 43 : 203-6.
15. Liu CL, Lai E CS, Lo CM, et al. Combine laparoscopic and endoscopic approach in patients with cholelithiasis and choledocholithiasis. *Surgery* 1996 ; 119 : 534-7.
16. Balsara KP, Shah CR, Maydeo A. A endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography in the era of laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Indian J gastroenterol* 1996 ; 15 : 10-1.

17. Bonatsos G, Leandros E, Polydorou A, et al. ERCP in association with laparoscopic cholecystectomy, A strategy to minimize the number of unnecessary ERCPs. *Surg Endosc* 1996 ; 10 : 37-40.
18. Changchien CS, Chuah SK, Chiu KW. Is ERCP necessary for symptomatic gallbladder stone patients before laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1995 ; 90 : 2124 -7.
19. Kum CK, Goh PM. Preoperative ERCP in the management of common bile duct stones before laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Eur J Surg* 1996 ; 162 : 205-10.
20. Miller RE, Kimmelstein FM, Winkler WP. Management of common bile duct stones in the era of laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Am J Surg* 1995 ; 169 : 273-6.
21. Coppola R, D'Ugo D Ciletti S, et al. ERCP in the era of laparoscopic biliary surgery. Experience with 407 patients. *Surg Endosc* 1996 ; 10 : 403-6.
22. Phillips EH, Liberman M, Carroll BJ, et al. Bile duct stones in the laparoscopic era. Is preoperative sphincterotomy necessary? *Arch Surg* 1995 ; 130 : 880-5 ; Discussion 885-6.
23. Safrany L, Cotton PB. A preliminary report : Urgent duodenoscopic sphincterotomy for acute gallstone pancreatitis. *Surg* 1981 ; 89 : 424-8.
24. Barkin JS, Ferstenberg RM, Panullo W, et al endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography in pancreatic trauma. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1988 ; 34 : 102-5.
25. Linos DA, King RM, Mucha P Jr, Franell MB. Blunt pancreatic trauma. *Minn Med* 1983 ; 66 : 153-60.
26. Taylor KJW, Buchin PJ, Visconti GN, Rosenfield AT. Ultrasound scanning of the pancreas. *Prospective study of clinical results. Radiology* 1981 ; 138 : 211-3.
27. Pollock D, Taylor KJW. Ultrasound scanning in patients with clinical suspicion of pancreatic cancer. A retrospective study. *Radiology* 1981 ; 47 : 1662-5.
28. Hessel SJ, Siegelman SS, McNeil BJ, et al. A prospective evaluation of computed tomography and ultrasound of the pancreas. *Radiology* 1982 ; 143 : 129-33.
29. Husband JE, Meire HB, Krell L. Comparison of ultrasound and computed-assisted tomography in pancreatic diagnosis. *Br J Radiology* 1977 ; 50 : 855-62.
30. Kawai K, Akasaka Y, Murakami K, et al. Endoscopic sphincterotomy of the ampulla of Vater. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1974 ; 20 : 148-51.
31. Classen M, Demling L. Endoskopische Spinkterotomie der papilla Vateri und Steiextraktion aus dem ductus choledochus. *Dtsch Med Wochenschr* 1974 ; 99 : 496-7.
32. Ghazi A, McSherry CK. Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography and sphincterotomy. *Ann Surg* 1984 ; 199 : 21.
33. Haines DJ, Bibbey D, Green JR. Does nasal oxygen reduce the cardiorespiratory problems experienced by elderly patients undergoing endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography. *Gut* 1992 ; 33 : 973-5.
34. Iber FL, Sutberry M, Gupta R, Kruss D. Evaluation of complications during and after conscious sedation for endoscopy using pulse oximetry. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1993 ; 39 : 620-5.
35. Safrany L. Duodenoscopic sphincterotomy and

gallstone removal. *Gastroenterology* 1977 ; 72 : 338-43.

36. Kock H, Rosch W, Schaffner O, Demling L. Endoscopic papillotomy. *Gastroenterology* 1977 ; 73 : 1393-6

37. Viceconte G, Viceconte GW, Pietropaolo V, Montori A. Endoscopic sphincterotomy : Indications and results. *Br J Surg* 1981 ; 68 : 376-80.

38. Siegel JH. Endoscopic papillotomy in treatment of biliary tract disease. 258 procedures and result. *Dig Dis Sci* 1981 ; 26 : 1057-64.

39. Wurbs D. Endoscopic papillotomy. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1982 ; 77 (suppl) : 107-15.

40. Escorrou J, Cordova JA, Lazorthes F, et al. Early and late complications after Endoscopic sphincterotomy for biliary lithiasis with and without the gall bladder "insitu". *Gut* 1984 ; 25 : 598-602.

41. Leese T, Neoptolemos JP, Carr-Locke DL. Success, failures, early complications and theirmanagement following Endoscopic sphincterotomy : Result in 394 consecutive patients from a single center. *Br J Surg* 1985 ; 72 : 215-9.

42. Neoptolemos JP, Carr-Locke DL, Fossard DP. A prospective randomised study of preoperative endoscopic sphincterotomy versus surgery alone on common bile duct stones. *BMJ* 1984 ; 294 : 470-4.

43. Ikeda S, Tanaka M, Matsumoto S, et al. Endoscopic sphincterotomy : Long term results in 408 patients with complete follow-up

44. Vaira D, Ainley C, Williums S, et al. Endoscopic sphincterotomy in 1000 consecutive patients. *Lancet* 1989 ; 2 : 431-4.

45. Podolsky I, Kortan P, Haber GB. Endoscopic sphincterotomy in out patients. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1989 ; 35 : 372-6.

46. Lambert ME, Betts CO, Hill J, et al. Endoscopic sphincterotomy : The whole truth. *Br J Surg* 1991 ; 78 : 473-6.

47. Hill J, Martin DF, Tweedle DEF. Risk of leaving gall bladder in situ after endoscopic sphincterotomy for bile duct stones. *Br J Surg* 1991 ; 78 : 554-7.

48. Moreira VF, Arribas R, Sanroman AL, et al. Choledocholithiasis in cirrhotic patients : Is endoscopic sphincterotomy the safest choice? *Am J Gastroenterol* 1991 ; 86 : 1006-10.

49. Sherman S, Ruffolo TA, Hawes RH, Lehwan GA. Complications of endoscopic sphincterotomy. A prospective series with emphasis on the increased risk associated with sphincter of Oddi dysfunction and nondilated bile ducts. *Gastroenterology* 1991 ; 101 : 1068-75.

50. Freeman ML, Nelson DB, Sherman S, et al. complications of endoscopic biliary sphincterotomy [see comment]. *N Eng J Med* 1996 ; 335 : 909-18.

51. Coppola R, Riccioni ME, Ciletti S, et al. Analysis of complications of endoscopic sphincterotomy for biliary stones in a consecutive series of 546 patients. *Surg Endosc* 1997 ; 11 : 129-32.