

EDITORIAL

Authorship requires public accountability for published work. All persons named as authors must qualify for authorship, and all those who qualify should be named. Each author must have contributed directly to the intellectual work reported and take public responsibility for the content of the article.

Authorship credit should be based only on

1. substantial contributions to conception and design, or acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data,
2. drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content,
3. final approval of the version to be published.

All conditions must all be met. Other roles may be important to the research but do not, by themselves, justify authorship. Such roles include: leading organizations, acquiring funding, collecting data, contributing patients, and preparing the manuscript. All others who made substantial contributions to the work but who do not qualify as authors should be named in Acknowledgement, with descriptions of what they did.

The order of naming multiple authors should be a joint decision of the coauthors. The corresponding author should be prepared to explain the order of names.

A group may be named as a coauthor of work published by a research network, multicenter trial team, and other group. All articles must have an individual author named as the first author. The group may choose to name one or more individuals to author the article or the group delegate authorship to a writing committee. A full list of the names of the group members who qualify for authorship may be listed in an Appendix. Others may be named in Acknowledgments.

Good research practice is important to the scientific community. An awareness of what constitutes poor practice is important. In the special article in this issue, overview concept of authorship and authorship problems were discussed in this review. Reduction of these problems were also discussed.

Professor Nares Sukcharoen